INDO-ARYAN ORIGIN OF GONDI CUD(D)- "SMALL"

Proto-Dravidian (PDr.) initial *c- is represented in the Gondi dialects (Voc., p. 77) by s- in the northern and western dialects, h- in the south and east, and zero (o) in the farthest SE dialects, viz. Hill Maria and Koya. A few sporadic occurrences of s-, h-, o outside of the dialect limits that would be « regular » are probably evidence that the successive changes (*c-> s-> h-> o) spread through the lexicon unevenly. This must be the explanation also of the retention of *c- as the affricate c- in a few items in the Adilabad-Yeotmal dialect.

On the other hand, items which show retention of c- widely throughout the dialects (with very occasional occurrences of the expected changes) belong to two groups: (1) expressives, (2) borrowings from other languages, viz. Telugu, Indo-Aryan Marathi, Hindi, and Oriya, and Munda. Fuller discussion of these matters is undertaken elsewhere 1. The second group includes the Gondi word for « small, little, young(er) », cud(d)-, investigation of which requires such elaboration that separate presentation is desirable.

The most widespread words for « small, little, young(er) » in the Gondi dialects are those found in Voc., no. 1347 2 , with base cud(d). The forms in the northern and western dialects have

^{1.} In a forthcoming general study of PDr. *c- and its developments.

^{2.} This entry in Voc., with its etymological note by the authors, was the stimulus for research into this problem. The abbreviations for the sources of Gondi dialect forms are those used in Voc. and DEDR.

initial c-, i.e. the palatal affricate, instead of s- which is the expected development of PDr. *c- in these dialects: (Betul [Tr.]) cud(d)ur, cuddol, (Mandla [W and Ph)] cudur, cudor, (Adilabad-Yeotmal) cudur ([ASu.] cuddur, curur). Initial s- (< *c-) would be expected to show development to h- in the southern and eastern dialects of Chanda and northern Bastar (Muria), and then to zero in the farthest SE dialects (Hill Maria and Koya). The « small » words show h- in Chanda ([D and G] hudla) and the Muria dialect (hudila, etc.); Dandāmi Maria has both cudla and hudla. Of the zero dialects, Hill Maria has udila, while Koya has unexpectedly cudul, with c- retained.

The basic form is cud(d)- with r and l derivative suffixes, which are not yet to be completely explained (though one may note the antonym, no. 1543, Adilabad-Yeotmal dagur « big, great », ASu. $dagg\bar{u}r$, with no known etymology). The suffix -k, which replaces r or l, is the plural suffix (Mandla [Ph] pl. cuduhk, Adilabad [ASu.] pl. $cudd\bar{u}k$ Dandāmi Maria and Muria huduk « few »).

For cud(d)- there is no Dravidian etymology.

It has been suggested with a query (Voc., s.v.) that the Gondi forms are derived from Indo-Aryan. The notation is: «cf. Pkt. chuḍḍa small », which leads one to CDIAL 3712, Sanskrit kṣuḍrá-« minute » AV., kṣuḍraka- « small » Mn. The continuants of kṣuḍra-with few exceptions have initial kh-, e.g. Pali khuḍḍa-, Prakrit khuḍḍa-, khuḍḍa-, Bengali khuḍiyā, Assamese khūḍ. Most of the modern languages have only derivatives with specialized meanings (e.g. Bengali khuḍ, Oriya khuḍa « broken rice », Hindi khūḍ « dregs, refuse »), forms with the general meaning « small, little » being derived from other sources (CDIAL 4781 *cikka-, e.g. Skt. cikka-gaja- «young elephant », Prakrit cikka- « small », Marathi cikkar id.; CDIAL 5071 *chōṭṭa-, only in modern Indo-Aryan, e.g. Hindi choṭā, Gujarati choṭā, Bengali and Oriya choṭa; CDIAL 12732 ślakṣṇá-, e.g. Marathi lahān; cf. also some items like Marathi thoḍā in CDIAL 13720 stōká-).

The few forms from Skt. kṣudra- with initial ch- are Prakrit chuḍḍa-, which is quoted in Voc., and Aśokan chuda-, chudaka-(= chudda-, chuddaka-), as found in the Girnar (Kathiawar) inscriptions (whereas Aśokan parallels elsewhere have kh-), plus one modern West Pahāri form with ch-. The Prakrit form is

quoted from Sheth's dictionary; his reference is to the Jain upāṅga Aupapātika-sūtra (Uvavāiya-sutta), which, as the edition shows, has in fact chuḍḍiya- « a small bell used as an ornament », and that only in a rather less than authoritative addition found in several manuscripts. That this form is relevant is guaranteed by such Sanskrit equivalents as kṣudrikā ghaṇṭā and kṣudraghaṇṭikā (ghaṇṭā- « bell »). More straightforward evidence is provided by the Aśokan forms, which occur with the meaning « small » in contrast with words for « great ».

The derivatives of ksudra- present phonological problems, in that ks- is represented in the Middle Indo-Aryan (MIA) forms by either kh- or ch-, and -dr- by either -dd- or -dd-. There is so much dialect mixture in all MIA and Modern Indo-Aryan (NIA) data that there is difficulty in attaining certainty of statement. However, two great Indo-Aryanists of this century, Jules Bloch and Sir Ralph (R. L.). Turner, have produced for ks-general statements which we may turn to. Bloch 4 finds on the basis of epigraphical and other evidence that ks- was represented by kh- in the eastern Prakrits and by ch- in those of the NW and west (cf. the Girnar Aśokan forms quoted above), and (p. 114) that Marathi and Gujarati in this respect were western. Turner⁵ carries the matter historically somewhat further, but agrees with Bloch with regard to the statement just quoted. He adds that Ardhamāgadhī (the basic language of the Jain canon, that of the Aupapātika-sūtra form quoted above) had ch forms (for ks) « more common[ly] » than does Saurasenī (which, the Prakrit prose of the dramas, is a kh-dialect), « but these may be due to the greater influence on Ardhamāgadhī of Māhārāstrī [i.e. Jaina Māhārāstrī, the dialect of the non-canonical Syetāmbara Jain Prakrit texts] ». This distribution of ch- and kh- from ks- would localize the IA origin of Gondi cudd-, if it is IA in origin, in the « western » part of the IA territory, i.e. in this instance in the Marathi area with which Gondi in part coincides.

^{3.} Leumann, 1883.

^{4. 1915,} p. 112, § 104.

^{5. 1936; 1975,} pp. 348-9.

On the other hand, no such neat geographical statement has been (or perhaps can be) made for -dr > -dd- or -dd-. Bloch 6 makes the general statement that dental + r normally in NIA yields a dental except in Sindhi. Pischel 7 gives an extensive list of text references for MIA kh-derivatives of ksudra, which seems to show that the Jain Prakrits, including Jaina Māhārāstrī, normally have khudda⁸ and that khudda- occurs in Pali and (only rarely) in Ardhamāgadhī. However, practically all the NIA derivatives show a dental resultant (whatever may be their meaning specializations). The NIA exceptions are the West Pahāri form churo (already referred to as having ch-) and Singhalese kudā « small » and kuda, kudu « dust » 9. The latter language has an alternative kudu « small », which Turner (CDIAL, s.v. ksudrá-) explains as « Pa[li] », i.e. as derived from or influenced by Pali khudda-; Turner (CDIAL Addenda, no. 14421) lists kudi « small » as the form in the Maldivian dialect of Singhalese. West Pahāri is a «western» language, and Singhalese is in many details « western »; the retroflex in their forms may be a « western » feature 10.

Although the Marathi record does not have a resultant of kṣudra- « small », it is possible to surmise that in its territory

^{6. 1915,} p. 127, § 120.

^{7. 1900,} p. 202, § 294.

^{8.} On this form Jules Bloch (as translated by Alfred Master, 1965, p. 60) says: 'Pkt. khudda- from Skt. kṣudra- ... this may have acted as in As. [i.e. Aśokan] osudha-', i.e. he assumes assimilation of dental to retroflex s.

^{9.} Geiger 1898-9, p. 199, no. 357; 1935, p. xxii, § 21.

^{10.} In the matter of ks > ch, Turner (1936; 1975, pp. 348-9) says: 'there is some evidence that the ancestor of Singhalese ... was a ch language', with reference to Geiger's listing of kh and ch forms (1900, p. 42, § 16). Geiger (ibid.) does not list the forms for 'small' with k < kh, although he lists them (1898-9, p. 199, no. 357; 1935, p. xxii, § 21) in exemplifying the Singhalese alternate developments of -dr as d and d. Would it be going too far to suggest, on the basis of Turner's hypothesis, that the 'ancestor of Singhalese 'must have had a form of the type *chudd- and that ch- (which yields Singhalese s-) must have been replaced by k- under Pali influence? Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit $c\bar{u}da$ -, Pali $c\bar{u}la$ - 'small' have been neglected in this discussion, since the vowel quantity makes connection of the Gondi forms improbable; as Turner says (CDIAL 4877 *culla-2 'small'), derivation of $c\bar{u}da$ - from ksudra- is ruled out (on phonetic grounds, by the initial c-rather than ch-).

there was once a form with the "western" ch- resultant of kṣ-, and with the -dd- resultant of -dr- that is attested for this word by the Jaina Māhārāṣṭrī forms in Pischel's list (khudḍaya-, khudḍiyā-), by the West Pahāṛi churo, and the Singhalese kudā, kuda, kudu. I.e. we could reconstruct *chudḍa- for the territory now occupied by Marathi. The Marathi records (as said above) do not have any evidence for such a form, but, if we are to find an Indo-Aryan origin for Gondi cud(d)-, this reconstruction would seem to be the only plausible one.

REFERENCES

- Bloch, Jules. 1915. La formation de la langue marathe (Bibliothèque de l'École des Hautes Études, Sc. hist. et phil., fasc., 215.), Paris, 1915.
- CDIAL = TURNER, R. L. 1966. A Comparative Dictionary of the Indo-Aryan Languages, London, Oxford University Press. Referred to by entry number.
- DEDR = Burrow, T., and M.B. Emeneau. 1984. A Dravidian Etymological Dictionary, 2nd ed., Oxford, Clarendon Press. Referred to by entry number.
- Geiger, Wilhelm. 1898-9. Etymologie des Singhalesischen, Abhandlungen der philosophisch-philologischen Classe der königlich bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 21.II (1898-9), pp. 175-274.
- ———. 1900. Literatur und Sprache der Singhalesen (Grundriss der Indo-Arischen Philologie und Altertumskunde, I.10.), Strassburg, 1900.
- ——. 1935. A Dictionary of the Sinhalese Language, Colombo, Royal Asiatic Society, Ceylon Branch / University of Ceylon.
- Leumann, Ernst. 1883. Das Aupapâtika Sûtra, erstes Upânga der Jaina, Abhandlungen für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, VIII.2.
- Pischel, Richard. 1900. Grammatik der Prakrit-Sprachen (Grundriss der Indo-Arischen Philologie und Altertumskunde, I.8.), Strassburg, 1900.
- Turner, R.L. 1936. Sanskrit å-kşeti and Pali acchati in Modern Indo-Aryan, in BSO(A)S 8, pp. 795-812 = Collected Papers 1912-1973, pp. 340-56, London, Oxford University Press, 1975.
- Voc. = Burrow, T., and S. Bhattacharya. 1960. A comparative vocabulary of the Gondi dialects, in JASB(L.) 2, pp. 73-251. Referred to by entry number.