## CHANDRABHĀL TRIPĀŢHĪ

## NARRATIVES IN THE PAÑCAKALPABHĀṢYA AND COGNATE TEXTS

Dedicated to G who inspired this study.

Comparable to the texts of the Vinaya-pitaka of various Buddhist schools, the Cheda literature of the Svetāmbara Jainas 1 deals with the rules of the monastic life, the Cheda-Bhāsyas containing many illustrative narratives. The three early Cheda-sūtras have a parallel in the Prātimoksa-sūtra in so far as they consist of rules and reveal similarities in the stylistic framing of the commands and prohibitions. The exegetical texts of the Jaina and Buddhist schools insert, while explaining the original rules, a considerable number of narratives, some of which may belong to the earlier stratum. A greater number, however, seem to be ad hoc creations of later periods 2. Among the Buddhist texts, the narratives are found in an increased number in the Mūlasarvāstivāda-vinaya, which has thus grown into a treasure-house of legends and stories 3; some lengthy sections borrowed from the Sūtra-pitaka are included in this corpus, too 4. The narratives in the Jaina texts are equally interesting. There is, however, a basic difference between the Buddhist and Jaina traditions as far as the declared purpose of inserting narratives is concerned. In the Buddhist exegetical texts, they are supposed to narrate the events which led to the proclamation or an eventual amendment of the rule contained in the Prātimoksa-sūtra. On the other hand,

<sup>1.</sup> See Walther Schubring, *The Doctrine of the Jainas* (Motilal Banarsidas, 1962), pp. 109-14: §§ 51-52.

<sup>2.</sup> For the Buddhist texts see for instance Dieter Schlingloff, Zur Interpretation des Prātimoksasūtra, in ZDMG, 113 (1963), pp. 536-51.

<sup>3.</sup> See Jampa Losang Panglung, Die Erzählstoffe des Mülasarvästivädavinaya analysiert auf Grund der tibetischen Übersetzung, The Reiyukai Libr., Stud. Phil. Buddh., Monogr. Ser. III (Tokyo, 1981), pp. xi foll. (p. xi: ... denn mehr als in den anderen Schulen mit einer eigenen Vinaya-Tradition sind im MSV die Mönchsregeln durch eine Fülle von Erzählstoffen interpretiert und kommentiert worden).

<sup>4.</sup> See for instance Raniero Gnoli, The Gilgit Manuscript of the Sanghabhedavastu, part I, in SOR, 49, 1 (Roma, 1977), General Introduction, pp. xxiv-xxvi.

the narratives in the *Cheda-Bhāṣyas* of the Jainas are in no way connected with the formulation of any rule, nor do they primarily explain any technical term; they simply illustrate the benefits of following a regulation, or, in most cases, the harm caused by violating it — and these regulations are mostly derivatives of the original rules of the *Cheda-sūtras*.

The exegesis of the Canonical texts of the Jainas was no doubt in the beginning oral and some mnemonic verses were composed to facilitate the teacher's instruction. The total of such verses, which were called Niryukti- (or  $Samgrahan\bar{\iota}$ -) $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}s$ , went on increasing and most of them were then arranged to form the texts called Niryuktis, which for their part did not remain free from additions and alterations 5. Later explanations of the Niryukti-verses and N-texts were, in contrast, called  $Bh\bar{a}sya$ -verses if they were metrical, and  $C\bar{u}rnis$  if they were in Prakrit prose 6. In the case of the exegetical texts which professed to « explain » the three Cheda-s $\bar{u}tras$ , the number of the  $Bh\bar{a}sya$ -verses increased to such an extent that the texts themselves were designated  $Bh\bar{a}syas$ . It was and is nearly impossible, at least in the case of these  $Bh\bar{a}syas$ , to separate 7 the early Niryukti-verses from the later  $Bh\bar{a}sya$ -verses. We have thus at present the three extensive  $Bh\bar{a}sya$ -texts:

- The Brhatkalpa-Bhāṣya (BKBh) 6490 vv. with -Ţīkā (BKṬī) by Malayagiri and Kṣemakīrti, ed. Munis Caturavijaya and Puṇyavijaya, 6 vols. (Bhāv'nagar, 1933-42) 8.
- The Niśītha-Bhāṣya (NiBh) 6704 vv. with -Cūrṇi (NiCū) of Jinadāsa, ed. Up. Amara muni and Kanhaiyālāl. 4 vols. (Agra, 1957-1960) 8.
- 3. The *Vyavahāra-Bhāṣya* (VyBh) 4768 vv. with -*Ṭīkā* (VyṬī) by Malayagiri, ed. Muni Māṇeka, 12 Bundles (Ahmedabad, 1926-28) <sup>8</sup>.

From among the *Cūrṇi*s of the *Cheda* literature, only the *Niśītha-Cūrṇi* (NiCū) is available in print <sup>8</sup>; the rest are as yet unpublished.

<sup>5.</sup> Some of these verses were also inserted into Canonical texts, most probably at the time of the redaction of the Canon at Valabhī.

<sup>6.</sup> For details see Ludwig Alsdorf, Jaina Exegetical Literature..., in « Mahāvīra and His Teachings », ed. A. N. Upadhye and others (Bombay, 1977), pp. 1-3. Also compare Klaus Bruhn and Chandrabhal Tripathi, Jaina Concordance and Bhāṣya Concordance, p. 67, in « Beiträge zur Indienforschung Ernst Waldschmidt zum 80. Geburtstag gewidmet » (Berlin, 1977), pp. 67-80.

<sup>7.</sup> For Kṣemakīrti's attempts to distinguish between Niryukti- and  $Bh\bar{a}sya$ -verses in the Brhatkalpa- $Bh\bar{a}sya$  see appendix 4 in part VI of the BKBh edition (see note 8).

<sup>8.</sup> For bibliographical details see Chandrabhāl Tripāṭhī, *The Jaina Concordance in Berlin - A Bibliographical Report*, pp. 307, 316, 328-29, 312, in « Studien zum Jainismus und Buddhismus Gedenkschrift für Ludwig Alsdorf », ANISH, 23 (Wiesbaden, 1981), pp. 301-29.

Later texts of slightly different character are the *Jītakalpa-sūtra* (JKSū) of 103 verses by Jinabhadra gaṇi and the *Jītakalpa-Bhāṣya* (JKBh) of 2606 verses which is in all probability by the same author. A *Cūrṇi* (JKCū) on the JKSū was written by Siddhasena gaṇi. These Jītakalpatexts were edited and studied by Ernst Leumann, Muni Jinavijaya, and Muni Puṇyavijaya<sup>8</sup>.

The Pañcakalpa-Bhāṣya (PKBh) is a composition with many individual characteristics <sup>9</sup>. There was no Pañcakalpa-sūtra <sup>10</sup> nor was there any Pañcakalpa-niryukti <sup>11</sup>. Although the Jaina tradition regards the PKBh as originally forming a part of the Bṛhatkalpa-Bhāṣya, it is really an independent and systematic compilation by one author (Saṅghadāsa gaṇi?), who certainly utilized earlier materials, sometimes as available to him, sometimes changing them to suit his purposes. (The so-called Pañcakalpa-laghubhāṣya is nothing but an anthology of some 184 verses excerpted from the PKBh).

In the context of the Seventh Nihnava, the Āvaśyaka-cūrṇi <sup>12</sup> cites two verses, which are PKBh 1491-1492, and mentions the twelvefold saṃbhoga discussed in the Pañcakappa <sup>13</sup>. This is seemingly the oldest available reference to the PKBh. It is referred to in the Bṛhatkalpa-Ṭīkā (BKṬī) by Malayagiri and Kṣemakīrti at more than a dozen places <sup>14</sup>. The Bṛhaṭṭipanikā <sup>15</sup> registers the PKBh as containing 2474 gāthās; the text at hand has 2666 verses. It is moreover interesting to note that the NiCū does not mention a Pañcakappa or PKBh, as can easily be verified <sup>16</sup>. Thus it seems that not only the BKBh and the NiBh <sup>9</sup> but also the NiCū are anterior to the PKBh, and that certain passages, at least of the Āvaśyaka-cūrṇi, are posterior to it. Furthermore, our text was, in the earlier periods, most probably known as Pañcakappa (or Pañcakalpa), and not as Pañcakalpa-bhāsya.

All these preserved *Cheda-Bhāṣyas* (viz. BKBh, NiBh, VyBh, JKBh, and PKBh) contain a considerable number of narratives, most of which appear in more than one text. Numerous narratives seem to occur in the *Cūrṇi*-text(s) as well. In the texts under consideration, they are,

<sup>9.</sup> See the Introduction of my edition of the *Pañcakalpa-Bhāṣya* which incorporates the substance of my paper *Pañcakalpa-bhāṣya* and *Related Texts*, read before the 21st German Oriental Conference (Deutscher Orientalistentag), Berlin, in March 1980.

<sup>10.</sup> A Pañcakalpa-sūtra is however listed in the Bṛhaṭṭipanikā, ed. Muni Jinavijaya. For the Bṛhaṭṭipanikā see my Catalogue of the Jaina Manuscripts at Strasbourg, in Ind. Ber., 4 (Leiden, 1975), pp. 5-6 with fn. 17; or ZDMG, Suppl. III, 2 (1977), p. 992 cum fn. 21 ff.

<sup>11.</sup> See Bruhn and Tripathi, Jaina Concordance and Bhāṣya Concordance, fn. 6, p. 79.

<sup>12.</sup> Āvasyaka-cūrņi, Ratlām: RK, 1928-29, part I, p. 415.

<sup>13.</sup> Pañcakalpa-bhāṣya, § 2, 7: Saṃbhoga-kappa = PKBh 1488c-1514.

<sup>14.</sup> See the Brhatkalpa-bhāsya, part VI, appendix, p. 136b.

<sup>15.</sup> Brhattipanikā, fn. 10, p. 3b.

<sup>16.</sup> See appendix 3 of the Agra edition of the Nisītha Texts, fn. 8, part IV, p. 543.

however, unevenly distributed: in certain sections they are concentrated, in others they are conspicuous by their absence.

In our preliminary survey of the narratives in the *Cheda* literature, we have, for practical purposes, regarded the PKBh as our central text. A couple of general remarks on the treatment of narratives in the PKBh may help us in evaluating this text. Some narratives are given in detail; many others are mentioned but briefly and, in a few cases of this type, a reference to the source of the narrative concerned is added. From the viewpoint of their distribution in the Jaina literature, the narratives in the PKBh belong either to the « common pool » of narratives current in the Svetāmbara tradition (particularly the Āvaśyaka and *Uttarā-dhyayana* « circles »), or to the exegetical tradition of the *Cheda* texts; only in rare cases do they seem to be « composed » by the « author » of the PKBh.

In its longest chapter on the «Sixfold Kalpa» (PKBh 180-1268b), the PKBh embodies a lengthy section on the Pravrajyā (vv. 190-968, i.e. 779 vv.), where in the main the twenty types of persons not fit for initiation (vv. 199-556) are discussed and where sixteen kinds of pravrajyā are — without being defined — merely illustrated by narratives (PKBh. 557-687b). This «Pravrajyā section» was somehow regarded as an appropriate place for inserting narratives in great number. The «author» of the PKBh surely had before him the model of the earlier Cheda-Bhāṣyas, which show a similar preponderance of narratives in their passages which run parallel to the relevant passages of the PKBh. Moreover, other Cheda texts and some of the Canonical texts along with their Niryuktis and Bhāṣyas were also utilized by this «author» as sources of his narratives.

The sixteen kinds of Pravrajyā are enumerated in two verses called dvāra-gāthās (PKBh 558-659):

chandā 1 rosā 2 parijunnā 3 suvinā 4 nāṇa-paḍisuttā 5 sāraṇīyā 6 rogiṇiyā 7 aṇāḍhiyā 8 deva-saṇṇa 9 tti vacchâṇubandhiyā 10 ajiṇa-kaṇṇiyā 11 bahujaṇa-saṃmudiyā 12 akkhātā 24 saṅgārā 14 veyākaraṇe 15 sayaṃ-buddhā 16.

In the next verse (PKBh 560) follow the catch-words for the narratives:

palli 1 surā 2 bhayi 3 devī 4 vaḍa 5 tetali 6 mūga 7 vāsudeve 7 ya uddāyaṇa 9 maṇa 10 kesī 11 jambū 12 pabhava 13 malli 14 soma 15 jiṇā 16.

Eight of these narratives are then given in full, others are just briefly mentioned. Let us now consider these narratives according to their sequence in the PKBh.

The narrative which illustrates the *chandā pavvāvanā* (vv. 561-587) runs as follows: When some robbers were leaving a village after their assault, a beautiful woman expressed her wish to be robbed. So she

was abducted. Her husband went to the camp (Catch-word: palli) of the robbers to free her. He was, however, betrayed by his wife. Still he managed to kill the head of the robbers and flee with her. The robbers chased them, and because of her treachery, were able to track them. The wife was brought back to their camp where she now loved the new leader. Her husband, lost in the forest, was helped by a monkey in his efforts to recover her. In this way he succeeded and brought her home. Thinking of the unfaithfulness of his wife, he willingly  $(chand\bar{a})$  accepted initiation as a monk.

The second type of Pravrajyā,  $ros\bar{a}$ , is illustrated as follows (vv. 587c-602): A Jaina layman in royal service was allowed by the king to bear a wooden sword because of his vow of  $ahims\bar{a}$ . After his death, his son, named Caṇḍakaṇha, continued in the service but started to enjoy alcoholic drinks (Catch-word:  $sur\bar{a}$ ). Once his mother did not immediately open for him the door of the house when he returned late in the night. He therefore became angry and went to the residence of Jaina monks where the doors were open, and asked for initiation. On account of his drunkenness, the monks were not willing to comply with his request, so he himself pulled out his hair (loca), and the monks then initiated him officially ( $vihin\bar{a}$ ).

The narrative for the third type of Pravrajyā (parijunna p.) is short (vv. 603-609a) and runs as follows: A poor fellow (Catch-word: bhayi = Sk. bhrtya or bhrtaka) was employed by a layman to serve the monks. When the employer had paid him, he felt very happy and wished to share his wages with others (monks?). However, after some time, he understood the Jaina teachings and became a monk.

I have reproduced here these three narratives in a condensed form because they seem to be the contribution of the author of the PKBh. For the rest of the narratives brief remarks will suffice as they are known from other texts, although five of them are treated by the PKBh in detail.

As an illustration for the initiation on account of dreams (suviṇā p.), the story of Pupphacūlā <sup>17</sup>, recorded in the Āvaśyaka tradition, is merely referred to in the PKBh 609:

... suviņe devīe Pupphacūlāe naragāņa daṃsaņeṇaṃ pavvaj' Āvassae vuttā (609).

For the fifth kind of Pravrajyā (nāṇa-paḍisuttā p.), we find in two verses (PKBh 610-611) a very abbreviated mention of the story of Citta and Saṃbhūta <sup>18</sup>, followed by the Iṣukāra legend (vv. 612-628<sup>b</sup>), the PKBh

18. See PPN p. 258: <sup>1</sup>C. and p. 743: <sup>2</sup>S. Also see Ludwig Alsdorf, Kl. Schriften,

Wiesbaden, 1974, pp. 186-92.

<sup>17.</sup> Pupphacūlā is also mentioned in the BKBh 1349-1351. For the references in the Āvaśyaka texts see Mohanlal Mehta and K. Rishabh Chandra, Prakrit Proper Names (PPN), ed. Dalsukh Malvania, in LDS, 28 (Ahmedabad, 1970), 37 (Ahmedabad, 1972), p. 468: <sup>2</sup>Pupphacūlā.

version of which can profitably be compared with those of the  $Uttar\bar{a}$ -dhyayana tradition <sup>19</sup>.

In the case of the sixth kind of Pravrajyā there are again two narratives. First, the Tetaliputta <sup>20</sup> story of the *Jñātādharmakathāḥ*, chapter 14, which is explicitly mentioned <sup>21</sup>. Second, the legend of Rāh'āyariya (vv. 629-650) <sup>22</sup>, known from the *Uttarādhyayana* tradition (e.g. *Uttarādhyayana-Niryukti*, v. 98).

As an illustration for the seventh kind of Pravrajyā (rogiṇiyā p.), the narrative of Tāvasa seṭṭhī <sup>23</sup>, known again from the *Uttarādhyayana* tradition, is given in the PKBh (vv. 651-685a) in detail. The sequence of the PKBh narratives 6.2 and 7, is identical with that in the *Uttarādhyayana-Niryukti* (vv. 98-99).

For the eighth kind of Pravrajyā ( $aṇ\bar{a}dhiy\bar{a}$  p.) the former existence of Rāma-Kaṇha, for the ninth the legend of Uddāyaṇa <sup>24</sup> and Pabhāvatī <sup>25</sup>, and for the tenth that of Maṇaka <sup>26</sup> are simply mentioned <sup>27</sup>.

The eleventh kind of Pravrajyā (ajiṇa-kaṇṇiyā p.) is illustrated (vv. 686<sup>b</sup>-694) by the narrative of Kesī <sup>28</sup>, the son of a nun who had conceived him without any direct contact with a man. It is mentioned in the BKBh 4137, and the BKṬī (p. 1126) <sup>29</sup> refers in this case explicitly to *Pañcakalpa*.

The remaining kinds of Pravrajyā (12th ... 16th) are illustrated by the legends of Jambū <sup>30</sup>, Pabhava <sup>31</sup>, Malli <sup>32</sup>, Soma (or, Somila) <sup>33</sup>, and

20. See PKBh 628:

... sāraņīya: Ņātesu coddasame ajjhayaņe, jaha Tetali Poţţilā bohe.

<sup>19.</sup> See PPN p. 133: <sup>2</sup>Usuyāra. Also compare *Uttarādhyayana-niryukti*, vv. 362-372; *U-cūrņi* p. 220; *U-ṭīkā* by Śāntisūri, pp. 395A-96B.

<sup>21.</sup> For some details see Walther Schubring, Nāyādhammakahāo, hrsg. J. Deleu, in AAWL, no. 6 (Mainz, 1978), pp. 44-7.

<sup>22.</sup> See PPN p. 631.

<sup>23.</sup> See PPN p. 335: 2Tāvasa.

<sup>24.</sup> See PPN p. 122: 2Udāyaņa.

<sup>25.</sup> See PPN p. 436: 3Pabhāvaī.

<sup>26.</sup> See PPN p. 544.

<sup>27.</sup> See PKBh 685-686:

<sup>...</sup> anādhiyā Rāma-Kanha-puvvabhavo. Uddāyaṇa-saṃbohī Pabhāvati: deva-saṇṇa tti (685). vaccha-aṇubhandhī: Maṇako...

<sup>28.</sup> See PPN p. 201: 3Kesī.

<sup>29.</sup> See BKŢī p. 1126:

kim na śrutam bhavadbhih Keśi-Satyakinor janma?... anayoh kathānake yathākramam Pañcakalpâvaśyakaṭīkābhyām avasātavye.

<sup>30.</sup> See PPN p. 270: 'Jambū.

<sup>31.</sup> See PPN p. 435.

<sup>32.</sup> See PPN pp. 554-55: 'Malli.

<sup>33.</sup> See PPN p. 868: 3Somila.

the Jinas respectively <sup>34</sup>. These legends (12-16) surely belong to the « common pool » of Jaina narratives.

Turning our attention now to the section on persons not fit for initiation, I would like to discuss here only one example of a narrative from the PKBh. There are indeed many cases of parallels between two or more than two texts as far as this section is concerned.

In the list of twenty persons of this category <sup>35</sup>, the impotent (napumsaka) occupies, both textually and factually, an important place in the Cheda texts and is, therefore, treated by them in an extensive manner. The impotent are classified into sixteen kinds <sup>36</sup>! The first kind is called pandaga, and in a long discussion (PKBh 301c-328c) two narratives are inserted to illustrate special cases of a pandaga. The first narrative is that of Prince Hema who married a large number of girls of his town (Hemapura) and on account of the excess of his enjoyments became impotent; in the end he met with a natural or — according to a different tradition — violent death.

The Prakrit prose version of this story is at present available in the NiCū (III, p. 243) and the BKṬī (p. 1371) <sup>37</sup>. The PKBh 308-314 offers a metrical rendering where some expressions of the prose recur. A textual comparison between the prose and metrical versions of this narrative has led me to the conclusion that the latter is a younger rendering based upon the earlier prose one <sup>38</sup>.

bahu-jaṇa-saṇmutiyāe: ṇikkhamaṇaṇ hoti Jaṇbu-ṇāmassa. akkhayāe: Jaṇbu dhammaṇ akkh' ādi Pabhavassa (695). saṃgāra: Malli-ṇāte satta ṇivā kāsi jaha tu saṃgāraṇ. veyākaraṇe: Somila pucchā jaha vākare bhagavaṇ (696). saya-buddhā: titthagarā.

Colophon: solasahā esa hoti pavvajjā.

- 35. The list is given in PKBh 200-202b and NiBh 3506-3508b: bāle vuddhe napumse ya jadde kīve ya vāhie teņe rāyāvagārī ya ummatte ya adamsane dāse duṭṭhe ya mūdhe ya aṇante jungite iya obaddhe ya bhayae seha-nipphedite ti ya guvviņī bāla-vacchā ya pavvāvetum na kappae.
- 36. See PKBh 299°-301° and NiBh 3561-3562. In NiBh 3505, however, we find the expression dasa napumsesu, which is nowhere explained in the NiCū.
- 37. The source of the BKTi might have been either of the Bṛhatkalpa-cūrṇis, both of which are still unpublished. Of little or no value are the variations between the NiCū and the BKTi. Hence only a few are recorded by me in the following passages. The printed text of the NiCū retains very often the inorganic t-, a scribal peculiarity no doubt. The edition of the BKBh and BKTi by the Munis Caturavijaya and Puṇyavijaya is, as is recognized by all, more careful and has standardized Prakrit.

<sup>34.</sup> See PKBh 695-697:

<sup>38.</sup> During the Jaina Symposium at Strasbourg, however, there were suggestions by Paṇḍit Dalsukhbhāī Mālvaṇiā and others that the situation should be explained by regarding the metrical version as the source which has been rendered into prose in the NiCū and the BKṬī.

The key-verse occurring in three Bhāṣya-texts runs as follows: jaha (¹ Hemo u kumāro ¹) Indamahe (² bhūṇiyā-nimitteṇaṃ ²) mucchiya giddho ³) (⁴ ya mao, veo vi ya uvahao tassa ⁴). BKBh 5153, NiBh 3575, PKBh 307.

(1) PKBh: Hemakumāro tū.

(2) NiBh: nagara-bāliga-nimittam; PKBh: bāliyā-nimittenam.

3) NiBh: gaḍhio.

(4) PKBh: atisevaņeņa vedôvaghāta mato.

The prose version of the Hema narrative reads in the BKTa and the NiCu:

Hemapure 1) nagare Hemakūdo rāyā. Hemasaṃbhavā bhāriyā. tassa putto vara-taviya-hema-sannibho Hemo nāma kumāro. so ya patta-jovvaņo annayā Indamahe Inda-tṭhāṇaṃ gao. pecchai ya tattha nagara-kula-bā-liyāṇaṃ rūvavaṇaṃ pañca-sae bali-puppha-dhūva-kaḍucchaya-hatthe ²). tāo daṭṭhuṃ sevagapurise bhaṇai ³) / pucchai: « kim eyāo āgayāo? kiṃ vā abhilasanti? » tehiṃ laviyaṃ: « indaṃ magganti, varaṃ sobhaggaṃ ca abhilasanti ». bhaṇiyā ya teṇa sevaga-purisā: « aham esiṃ ⁴) Indeṇa varo datto. neha ⁵) eyāo anteurammi ». tehiṃ tāo ghettuṃ savvāo anteure chūḍhāo ⁶). tāhe nāgara-jaṇo rāyāṇaṃ uvaṭṭhio: « moeha » tti. tao raṇṇā bhaṇiyaṃ: « kim majjha ⁷) putto na royati tuhaṃ jāmāuo? ». tao nāgarā tuṇhikkā ṭhiyā. « eyaṃ rannaṃ sammataṃ » ti aviṇṇappa ⁶) gayā nāgarā. kumāreṇa tā savvā pariṇīyā. so ya tāsu atîva pasatto. pasattassa ⁶) ya tassa savva-bīya-nīgālo ¹o) / sarva-vīrya-nīgālo jāo. tao tassa veôvaghāo jāo, mao ya. anne ¹¹) bhaṇanti: tāhiṃ c' eva « appaḍisevago » tti rūsi-yāhiṃ addāehiṃ ¹²) mārio.

- 1) NiCū: Hemapurise.
- 2) NiCū: -hatthā Indâbhimuhio.
- 3) So in BKŢī.
- 4) NiCū: etesim.
- 5) NiCū: deha!
- 6) NiCū: chūḍhā.
- 7) NiCū: majja!
- 8) NiCū: avipaṇṇaṃ.
- NiCū: pasattayassa.
- 10) Notice sarva-virya- in NiCū.
- 11) Compare PKBh 314.
- 12) NiCū omits addāehim.

The phrases and words not italicized recur in the metrical rendering of the PBKh 308-314:

- 308 eyassa 1) vibhāsa imā: jaha ego rāyaputto vaṇṇeṇaṇ taviya-vara-hema-s a r i s o, to se ṇāmaṃ kataṃ Hemo.
- 309 so annayā kadāī Indamahe Inda-ṭhāṇa-pattāo ṇagarassa bāliyāo pupph'ādi-hattha datthūnam
- 310 pucchati sevaga-purise: «kim eyā āgatāu ihaim » ti. te vi binti: «sohaggam magganto tā vara-tthīo ».

- 311 « te bei: " eyāsiṃ Indeṇa varo hu diṇṇa aham eva" ». ghettūṇaṃ tā teṇaṃ chūḍhā anteure savvā.
- 312 to ņagaragā raņņo uvaṭṭhitā « moyaveha etāo ». to beti: « majjha putto kim jāmātā na rucce bhe? »
- 313 to tāsu a t i pasattassa tassa ņiggaliya-savva-bīyassa vedôvaghāto jāto, sāgāriyam na uṭṭheti.
- 314 to tāhiṃ rūsiyāhiṃ so addāgehiṃ ghātito tāhe. Colophon:
  vedôvaghāta-pando eso 'bhihito samāsenam.
- 1) Refers to the key-verse PKBh 307 quoted above.

The close relationship between the NiCū and the BKTi narratives in Prakrit will now be substantiated by one more example.

One narrative, which is as usual called ditthanta (Sk. drstānta) in the Bhāsya-verse appearing in both the BKBh 1883 and the NiBh 2981. is preserved in the NiCū III, p. 92, and the BKTī p. 550 (where it might have been taken over from the so-far unpublished Brhatkalpa-Cūrni). As compared with the NiCū, the BKTī expands the introductory sentence and transforms it into Sanskrit. The concluding sentence of the NiCū is missing in the BKTi, most probably by mistake. The narrative runs as follows: A certain king was giving donations to Brahmins on the fullmoon day of the month of Karttika. One Brahmin, expert in the fourteen sciences, was addressed by his wife: « You are the leader of all the Brahmins, go to the king, he will give you an excellent donation ». The Brahmin replied: «Firstly, I would incur the sins of the king (by accepting his donation). Secondly, I would go uninvited. If the king desires the blessings of (my or his) ancestors, he should come and lead me there, or should give me a donation while I stay here ». The wife said, « The king knows many Brahmins who are equal to you and who would bless him. If you need that money, then go ». As this Brahmin desiring a request (from the king) did not gain the pleasures of this world, similarly, if you desire a request (from the person concerned), you would miss the benefits of nirjarā and would incur in toto four heavy penances consisting of repentance etc.

dițțhanto mah'iddhīe

Niśītha-Cūrṇi III, p. 92 ettha therā mah'iḍḍhiya-diṭṭhantaṃ karenti, « mah'iḍḍhio » tti rāyā. NiBh  $2981^{\circ} = BKBh 1883^{\circ}$ .

Bṛhatkalpa-Ṭīkā p. 550 tataḥ sthavirais tasya purato maharddhiko rājā tasya dṛṣṭāntaḥ kṛtaḥ, yathā

ego rāyā kattiya-punnimāe <sup>1)</sup> marugāṇa <sup>2)</sup> dāṇaṇ dei. ego ya <sup>3)</sup> marugo coddasa-vijjā-ṭṭhāṇa-pārago <sup>4)</sup> bhoiyāe bhaṇio: « tumaṇ savva-maru-gâhivo, vacca rāya-samīvaṇ, uttamaṇ te dāṇaṇ dāhii » tti <sup>5)</sup>. so marugo bhanāi: « egaṃ tāva <sup>6)</sup> rāya-kivvisaṇ giṇhāmi, biiyaṇ <sup>7)</sup> a-ṇiman-

tito 8) gacchāmi; jai se piti-pitāmahassa aņuggaheṇa paoaṇaṃ 9), to me āgantuṃ tattha 10) nehii 11), iha thiyassa vā me dāhiti 12) ». bhoiyāe bhaṇio: « tassa atthi bahū marugā tujjha saricchā aṇuggahakāriṇo. jai appaṇo tad-daviṇeṇa 13) kajjaṃ, to gaccha ». jahā se maruo abbhatthaṇaṃ magganto 14) iha-loiyāṇaṃ kāma-bhogāṇaṃ aṇabhāgī jāo, evaṃ tumaṃ pi abbhatthaṇaṃ magganto nijjarā-lāhassa cukkihisi 15).

sa-vittharam ca paritāvaņâdiyam cau-guru ārovaņam pāvihisi.

- 1) NiCū: -punnio.
- 2) BKŢī: maruyāṇaṃ.
- 3) BKŢī omits ya.
- 4) BKŢī: -ţhāṇa-.
- 5) So BKŢī. NiCū: dāhiti!
- 6) NiCū omits tāva.
- 7) NiCū: bīyam.
- 8) NiCū: aṇ-āmantito.
- 9) NiCū: paoyaṇaṃ.
- 10) NiCū omits tattha.
- 11) NiCū: nehīti.
- 12) BKŢī: dāhii.
- NiCū: te davi<sup>o</sup>.
- 14) NiCū: maggato.
- 15) So NiCū.

BKŢī: aṇābhāgī bhavissasi.

In concluding this note let me add that there are more than a score of narratives common to the NiCū and the BKṬī (and the PKBh). It is to be presumed that most of them recur in the as yet unpublished <code>Bṛhatkalpa-Cūrṇi</code> (1 and 2) and the equally unpublished <code>Pañcakalpa-Cūrṇi</code>. The total of such common narratives would increase considerably if all the <code>Cheda-Bhāṣyas</code> along with the <code>Cheda-Cūrṇis</code>, the <code>Āvaṣyaka-Cūrṇi</code>, and the <code>Cheda-Ṭīkās</code> were systematically examined with the aim of cataloguing their narratives. A contribution towards this aim will be our list of narratives in the <code>Pañcakalpa-bhāṣya</code> (to be appended to our edition of this text).