M. D. BALASUBRAHMANYAM

THE SUMMIT TONE OF A VEDIC WORD

- 1. The general tendency of an Indo-European word-accent ¹ is that in a polysyllabic word, there is always one accented syllabe which, by its accentuation, takes precedence of the rest. This tendency is presumably known by the parallelisms between individual facts of Greek and Vedic accentual systems.
- **2.1** Pānini (= P) teaches in the rule (A 6,1,158): anudāttam padam ekavarjam, that a word with the exception of one syllable is unaccented, that is to say, in a word only one syllable is accented. By the word ekam in the rule should be understood « the one » vowel for which a single $ud\bar{a}tta$ or the primary svarita is explicitly enjoined in the specific accentual rules of P². With the exception of this single accented vowel, the remaining syllables of a word are gravely intoned (anudātta-s).
- **2.2** The purpose served by this rule is concisely summed up by the following verse given in the *Mahābhāṣyam* (III 97.8-9):

āgamasya vikārasya prakṛteḥ pratyayasya ca / prthak-svaranivṛttyartham ekavarjam padasvaraḥ //

The rule which restricts a single accent in a word, has for its recognised function the elimination of the separate, individual accent of an *āgama* (augment), *vikāra* (alternative element), *prakṛti* (nucleus) and the *pratyaya* (suffix) simultaneously.

3. Kātyāyana defines word-accent in the first two aphorisms of the second chapter of the Sukla-yajurveda-prātiśākhya as follows: svarita-varjam ekodāttam padam (ii.1) and anudāttam (ii.2).

^{1.} With the term word-accent is associated the idea of prominence. See Martinet, Elements of General Linguistics, 3.31 and Schmitt, Musikalischer Akzent..., 6.
2. See Svarasiddhāntacandrikā (SSC), p. 20, Ed. K.A.S. Sastri, Annamalai University, 1936.

With the exception of the (primary) *svarita*, a word (is the domain of) one (and only one) *udātta* (ii.1), (and the remaining syllables of the word are) *anudātta-s* (ii.2). These two *sūtra-s* remind us of P's rule A 6,1,158. Accordingly the description of a Vedic word-accent is that each word, with the exclusion of the independent *svarita*, is the domain of a single *udātta*. Obviously there is, in each word, but one vowel which has the *udātta* or the primary *svarita*, and the remaining syllables of the (polysyllabic) word are atonic.

- **4.1** In the $T\acute{e}Xn\bar{e}$ Grammatik \bar{e} , Dionysius Thrax (c. 100 B.C) ³ mentions that « the grammatical word corresponds to the phonologically definable domain of one and no more than one acute or circumflex accent (except in the case of enclitics and words, immediately preceding enclitics, and perhaps of oxytonic words in non-final position...) ».
- **4.2** Halikarnasos (34-12 B.C.) says that « while in polysyllabic words, whatever their number of syllables, there is but one syllable that has the acute accent (high pitch) among the remaining grave ones » ⁴.
- **5.** It emerges from the facts presented briefly in paras 2 to 4 that a Vedic (polysyllabic) word has one *udātta* or *svarita* as its summit tone, the remaining syllables of the word being grave with the exception of enclitics.
- **6.1** The early Indoeuropeanists, considering the freedon of position of the summit tone for the IE word, found that a comparison of the accented with Greek yielded the result that the Vedic accent has preserved very clearly the old unfettered word-accent of the *ursprache*. This summit tone is the *udātta* in Vedic and the acute in Greek, which is shown by etymological equivalents: Germ. *hlūda*-, OIA *śrutá*-, Av. *srūto*, Grk. *Xlutós*, Lat. (*in*)clutus ⁵. Since the Sanskrit and Greek grammarians (cf. P's rule A 1,2,29 with Thrax in the Ars Grammatica 6,15.7.2) have described the *udātta* as the utterance of a vocalic phoneme in a higher key, it is regarded as « the most frequent and principal accent » ⁶. Following this theory, Wackernagel ⁷ assumed that the *udātta* syllable might have manifested the highest pitch originally and that for the comparison with related languages only the complete basic form with the *udātta* is to be taken into consideration. But it should be stated here that while the Rgvedic *udātta* holds its imperial position, Greek changes

^{3.} R. H., ROBINS, Dionysius Thrax..., TPS, 1957, 93.

^{4.} STURTEVANT, The Pronunciation of Greek and Latin, 98.

^{5.} Cf. Hirt, Der Akzent, 45 f. for a list of etymological correspondences.

^{6.} WHITNEY, JAOS, 1861, 198. He holds the view that the *svarita* was far from being the principal accent. (See his notes under *AVPr*. III, 65). For a criticism of Whitney's notion, that the *svarita* is an intermediate tone between *udātta* and *anudātta*, read K.A.S. Sastri's Introduction to *SSC*, p, 48 f.

^{7.} Altindische Grammatik I, Sect. 245 d.

its acute, under certain phonological and morphological conditions to the circumflex intonation 8.

- **6.2** As regards the svarita intonation as has been stated in the $v\bar{a}jasaneyi-Pr\bar{a}tis\bar{a}khya$ (i.iii): Eka-pade $n\bar{i}ca-p\bar{u}rvah$ sayavo $j\bar{a}tyah$ it occurs mostly in syllables where the vowel is preceded by the palato-semivowel « y » or the labial « v ». And this y and v is a product of sandhi from an original i and i respectively, since the accent as warranted by diachronic evidence, goes back to a time when the svarita was pronounced as two peak-points. Furthermore, the old Indo-European rhythmic law requires that i0 and i1 are to be dissolved into i2 and i2 respectively. Consequently the words which have the svarita as the Hauptton are to be transcribed as * sú-àr > i2 svâr; * kú-à > i3 vîrî-àm > i3 vīrŷâm.
- 7. But from the synchronistic point of view, the autonomous, genuine svarita must be regarded as the summit tone, in the following select examples drawn from the Samhitā or Brāhmaṇa texts:

kartavyâm TS 1,5,2,4 (A 3,1,96; 6,1,185)

karmanyâm RV 1,91,20

kāryâm TB 1,3,1,5 (A 3,1,124; 6,1,185)

vīryâm RV 1,57,5 (PhitS IV.9: bilva-barsva-vīryāṇi chandasi; see SSC to A 6,2,120)

svâh RV 1,50,5 (PhitS iv.6; SSC: 284).

8.1 We shall now focus our attention on the evidence furnished by P. and K \bar{a} t y \bar{a} y a n a (the Vārttikakāra) to show that the *svarita* too appears as the independent, summit tone, in certain typical Vedic formations like the $ud\bar{a}tta$.

P teaches in the rule, tavyat-tavyānīyarah (A 3,1,96) that with tavyaT, tavya- and $an\overline{t}ya$ are formed gerundives denoting action, object (A 3,4,70) and diverse other senses (A 3,3,113). The Krt derivatives in tavya- are paroxytonic, since the suffix initial is accented through the force of the rule, $\overline{t}adyud\overline{t}tas$ ca (A 3,1,3) whereas those in tavyaT are perispomena which is indicated by the exponent T according to the rule, tit svaritam (A 6,1,185). Some typical instances are: tavtavya-, tavtavya- (paroxytonic), and tavtavya- (: tavtavya-

8.2 The perispomenon $kartavy\hat{a}m$ occurs in TS 1,5,2,4: $Kartavy\hat{a}m$ $y\acute{a}ju\acute{h}$. The perispomenon seems to belong exclusively to the property of the Black Yajurveda $Samhit\bar{a}$ texts 9 , while the $Br\bar{a}hmana$ - $\bar{A}ranyaka$ texts 10 have employed the paroxytonic $kart\acute{a}vya$ -. Thus P. has accounted for this diachronic accentological position, since he has indicated paroxytonesis by teaching the IT-less $t\acute{a}vya$ - suffix.

^{8.} Kurylowicz, On the development of the Greek Intonation, Language, VIII, 200-10.

^{9.} TS 1,5,2,4: KāṭhaS 26,7; 29,5; 28,10; 33,4; 34,4, KKS 41,5; 6. 10. AB 1,11; 2,1. SB 1,2,5,21. GB 2,1,15. But kartavyā in TB 3,8,3,3 (?).

- **8.3** Kātyāyana in the $v\bar{a}rttika$ vases tavyat kartari nic ca (ad A 3,1,96; VMB II 81.19) teaches the suffixation of tavyaT to the root vase to dwell in expressing the agent sense, and the root is vrddhied (A 7,2,116). The gerundive $v\bar{a}stavy\hat{a}h$ is attested once in the TS 5,2,8,5: $v\bar{a}stavy\hat{o}$ vā $v\bar{a}$ esá yát, which treats the gerundive as a perispomenon. This is in perfect agreement with Kātyāyana's teaching which proves to the hilt that the svarita is as much a summit tone as the $ud\bar{a}tta$.
- **8.4** In addition P. teaches in the rule \bar{r} -halor nyat (A 3,1,124) the addition of $\bar{N}yaT$ to roots ending in r (short or long) or a consonant. Thus we obtain: $k\bar{a}ry\hat{a}m$ « must be made », $h\bar{a}ry\hat{a}m$ « must be taken away », $dh\bar{a}ry\hat{a}m$ « must be held » and $v\bar{a}ky\hat{a}m$ « must be uttered », all of which are perispomena through the force of A 6,1,185. In the following rules, $or\bar{a}va\acute{s}yake$ (3,1,125) and $\bar{a}su$ -yu-vapi-rapi-lapi-trapi-camaś ca (126), P. teaches Nyat-gerundives such as $p\bar{a}vy\hat{a}m$ « what must be purified by necessity » (125), $\bar{a}s\bar{a}vy\hat{a}m$ « must be distilled » (126) and other gerundives which are perispomena.
- **8.5** If P. did not accept the *svarita* playing the role of a summit tone of a Vedic word, he would not at all have structured the exponent /T/ and the accent rule A 6,1,185. He has obviously accepted the *svarita* as an independent tone, and his rules, 3,1,96; 124; 125 and 6,1,185 are clearly meant to take care of such Vedic formations which are perispomena.
- 9. The binary opposition between Vedic $kartavy\hat{a}m$ (perispomenon) and later $kart\acute{a}vyam$ (paroxytone); Vedic $v\bar{\imath}ry\hat{a}m$ (perispomenon) and classical $v\bar{t}ryam$ (barytone), and the arguments contained in paras 7-8 demonstrate the fact that the svarita must also be regarded as the Hauptton of a Vedic word.

10. To sun up:

- (i) We learn from the IE comparative linguistic evidence, the account in the $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$ [= A] and the $Suklayajurvedapr\bar{a}ti-s\bar{a}khya$, that a polysyllabic word has one $ud\bar{a}tta$ or the primary svarita as its summit tone, the remaining syllables of the word being atonic;
- (ii) Synchronically speaking, the *svarita* must be regarded as the summit tone of certain Vedic words (*kartavyâm* and others);
- (iii) P's teaching of the rules A 3,1,96; 124; 125; 6,1,185 and Kātyāyana's vārttikam (ad A 3,1,96) prove to the hilt that svarita, like the udātta, is also a Hauptton; and

^{11.} SSC: 168, s.v. A 6,2,120 where Srīnivāsa glosses: tena « vīryaṁ vṛṅkte » ityādau antasvaritatvaṁ siddham / Loke tu vīryaśabdasya ādyudātta eva /

(iv) The monumental work of P. remains an important source of factual information and a necessary tool for serious work on Indo-European linguistics.

ABBREVIATIONS

TB

TS

Aitareya-Brāhmaņa ABGopatha-Brāhmaņa GBKapiṣṭhala-Kaṭha-Samhitā KKS Kāthaka-Samhitā KāṭhaS Pāṇini P Phit-Sūtra PhitS Rgveda-Samhitā RVSvarasiddhānta-candrikā SSC Śatapatha-Brāhmaņa ŚB Taittirīya-Brāhmaņa

Taittirīya-Samhitā

Aşţādhyāyī