#### ALBERTO CHIANTARETTO

# NĀṇĪPARĪKṢĀ AS DIAGNOSTIC AND PROGNOSTIC TECHNIQUE IN SANSKRIT MEDICAL LITERATURE AND THE NĀṇĪVIJÑĀNA OF KAŅĀDA

nādyā mūtrasya jihvāsyā lakṣanam yo na vindati / mārayatyāśu vai jantum sa vaidyo na yaśo bhajet // <sup>1</sup>

"The physician who doesn't know the signs of pulse, of urine and of tongue, soon will kill the patient and doesn't win a good reputation".

From 15<sup>th</sup> century  $\bar{A}$ yurveda <sup>2</sup> gets ready A*ṣṭasthānaparīkṣā*, the basic semiotic method to examine the patient. It includes: the exami-

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS: A.h.: Aṣṭāṅgahṛdayasaṃhitā. — A.s.: Aṣṭāṅgasaṃgraha. — AV.: Atharva Veda. — Bhā.pra.: Bhāvaprakāśa of Bhāvamiśra. — Ca.: Carakasaṃhitā. — Ci.: Cikitsāsthāna. — In.: Indriyasthāna. — Ka.: Kalpasthāna. — Mā.ni.: Mādhavanidāna. — MW.: Monier-Williams, Sanskrit-English Dictionary. — Nā.vi.: Nāḍivijñāna of Kaṇāda. — Nā.pa.: Nāḍiparīkṣā of Rāvaṇa. — Ni.: Nidānasthā. — Śā.: Śārirasthāna. — Śārṅga.: Śārṅgadharasaṃhitā. — Su.: Suśrutasaṃhitā. — Sū.: Sutrasthāna. — Toḍarānanda.: Toḍarānanda-Āyurveda Saukhyam. — Vi.: Vimānasthāna. — Yo.: Yogaratnākara.

<sup>1.</sup> Yogaratnākara, atha rogiṇāmaṣṭasthānarīkṣaṇam, 6. Saṃhitā composed by an unknown author between 1650 and 1725 covers the whole medicine with the exception of surgery and anatomy (J. Jolly, *Indian Medicine*, New Delhi, third edition, p. 3, 155).

<sup>2.</sup> For the definition of āyurveda see: Ca. Sū. I, 42. Carakasamithā, Agniveśa's Treatise refined and annotated by Caraka and redacted by Drdhabala, text with English Translation, editor-translator Prof. P.V. Sharma, 3 vol., Varanasi, 1975. Carakasamhitā, Text with English Translation and Critical Edition based on "Cakrapāni Datta's Āyurveda dīpīkā" by R. K. Sharma and B. Dash, Varanasi, 1983.

nation of  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  (pulse), mutra (urine), mala (feces), jivha (tongue),  $\acute{s}abdah$  (voice),  $spar\acute{s}a$  (by touching),  $\bar{a}krti$  (appearance), drk (sight).

During the times, until modern  $\bar{a}yurveda$ , the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$  became in the modern practice of  $\bar{a}yurveda$  the most important <sup>3</sup> – and quite the unique – method of  $Astasth\bar{a}napar\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$  used from the  $vaidya^4$ .

Nevertheless in the classical  $samhit\bar{a}^5$  we can't find any reference to the possibility to have any indication on the diseases or on the health of the patient by touching a  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  or a dhamani or other one of the components of the system of the pipes they carry the rasa (nutrient fluid)  $^6$  or  $pr\bar{a}na$  around the body  $^7$ .

<sup>3.</sup> On the connection between classical and modern Āyurveda and western medicine (biomedicine) and on the syncretic interpretation of classical medicine in Indian āyurvedic scholars and doctors, see: Ekendra Nath Gosh: "The pulse conditions in various diseases according to āyurvedic medicine", in *The Journal of Āyurveda*, 4 (1927-1928), pp. 453-460; 5 (1928-1929), pp. 13-20, 66-75, 340-347; 6 (1929), pp. 104-11, 151-158, 166-176. "Pulse in fever according to āyurvedic medicine", in *The Journal of Āyurveda*, 6 (1929-1930), pp. 260-269. "The pulse in prognosis of diseases according to āyurvedic medicine", in *The Journal of Āyurveda*, 6 (1929-1930), pp. 334-340, 454-463; 7 (1930-1931), pp. 12-19, 50-60. See also P. Chatterjee, *Indian Science of Pulse, Compiled in Sanskrit, with English Translation by the Author*, vol. I, Calcutta, 1934.

<sup>4.</sup> C. Leslie, "Ambiguities of Revivalism in India", in *Asian Medical Systems*, Editor C. Leslie, Berkeley, 1976, pp. 356-357.

<sup>5.</sup> Carakasamhitā, Suśrutasamhitā, and Aṣṭāngahṛdayasamhitā are traditionally known as "The Threesome of the Great Works of Ayurveda "(bṛhattrayī). With vṛddhatrayī "The Threesome of Lesser Works", the tradition calls a group of more recent samhitā: Mādhavanidāna, Śārngadharasamhitā and Bhāvaprakaśa of Bhāvamiśra.

<sup>6.</sup> Suśruta Samhitā of Suśruta with the Nibandhasamgraha Commentary of Śri Dalhaṇāchārya, edited by J. Trikamji Āchārya, Varanasi, 1980. Su. Sū. XIV, 13: "rasa is derived from movement." Rasa is the first and the most important of the seven dhātu (elements of the body), with rakta (blood), māmṣa (flesh), medas (fat), asthi (bone), majjā (marrow), śukra (semen). During the transformation each dhātu is separated in prasāda (pure matter) and in kiṭṭa (waste matter). Three different interpretations of the process of transformation of dhātu: 1. kṣīradadhinyāya (the law of transformation); 2. kedarikulyānyāya (the law of transmission); 3. kalhe-kapotanyāya (the law of selection) (Ca. Sū. XXVIII, 4). Su. Sū. XIV, 15 accept that all dhātu are transformed into each other in the time of three thousand and fifteen kalā, thus from rasa to śukra the complete transfor-mation is in eighteen thousand and ninety kalā.

<sup>7.</sup> On the meanings of  $n\bar{a}d\bar{t}$  in classical Ayurvedic literature, see: S. D. Upadhyay,  $N\bar{a}d\bar{t}vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$  (Ancient Pulse Science), New Delhi, 1986, p. 11-14.

 $N\bar{a}d\bar{n}$  has many meanings: the tubular stalk of any plant or any tubular organ, any tube or pipe <sup>8</sup>.  $N\bar{a}d\bar{n}$  in the Vedic literature means tube or conduct of the human body or spermatic duct <sup>9</sup>.

In Su. Śā. III, 29 means the vessel of the navel cord or the complete umbilical cord ( $garbhan\bar{a}bhin\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ ) <sup>10</sup>.

 $N\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  is also «fistula» <sup>11</sup> and  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}vrana$  is sinus of suppurated material from an ulcer (vrana) <sup>12</sup>.

 $N\bar{a}diyantra$  indicates a group of surgical instruments – tubular or flat – to explore or to extract a foreign body from a wound <sup>13</sup>.

Ca. In. III, 3-4 states: "If [the physician] wants to check the remaining span of life of a patient only on the basis of tactual signs, should touch the entire body of the patient with his palm... The following points are required to be observed with touching: absence of pulsation in such of the organs of the body which constantly pulsate". Ca. In. III, 6.: "...the physician should carefully examine the exhalation, manyā... The following conditions are indicative of imminent death: if there is no pulsation in his manyā...".

We can find here some reference to the pulsation of the organs which normally pulsate like a kind of simple diagnosis, but only about evidence of life instead of death: if there is pulsation in  $many\bar{a}$  – one of the marma <sup>14</sup> – there is life; if there isn't, there is death, but it's impossible to interpret this statement in the sense of a  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$  in nuce.

<sup>8.</sup> M. Monier-Williams, *A Sanskrit-English Dictionary*, New Delhi, 1990 (first ed. 1899).

<sup>9.</sup> AV., VI, 138, 4. J. Filliozat, *La doctrine classique de la médicine indienne.* Ses origines et ses parallèles grecs, 2e éd, Paris, 1975, p. 124; pp. 129-130.

<sup>10.</sup> Ca.Vi. V, 9; Ca. Śā. VI, 23, 29.

<sup>11.</sup> Su. Sū. XVII,13; A.h. Ut. XXIX, 26b-28b.

<sup>12.</sup> Aṣṭāṅgahṛdayasamhitā of Vāgbhata, Text, English Translation, Notes, Appendix and Indices, translated by R.K. Srikantha Murty, 3 vol., Varanasi, 1996. A.h. Ut. XXIX, 26b-28b; Mā.ni. 45, 1-6

<sup>13.</sup> Su. Sū. VII, 4; 13. G. Mukhopadhyaya, *The Surgical Instruments of the Indus*, New Delhi, 1987, 1<sup>st</sup> edition 1913-1914, pp. 71, 72, 74, 83. G.D. Singhal, *Fundamental and Plastic Surgery Considerations in Ancient Indian Surgery*, Varanasi, 1981, 127-128, 130, 132-133.

<sup>14.</sup> The one hundred and seventy marma (vital points) ( $\sqrt{mr}$ , death) identify these zones of the body where a wound or a surgery can be very dangerous or mortal (Ca.  $\pm \bar{a}$ , II, 14). Jolly, *op. cit.*, pp. 37, 54.

The first indication of  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}k\bar{s}a$  is in  $S\bar{a}r\bar{n}gadhara$  saṃhitā (atha  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}k\bar{s}adividhiradhy\bar{a}yah$ , vv. 1-8) 15, one of the most popular treatises on  $\bar{a}yurveda$  16.

karasyangusiamule ya anamani jivasaksini /	
tacestaya sukham dukhram jneyam kāyasya panditaiḥ //	1
nādī dhatte marutkope jalaukāsarpayorgatim /	
kuliñkākamandūgātim pittasya kopataḥ //	
himsapārāvatagatim dhatte ślesmaprakokopatah /	2
lāvatittiravartinām gamanam samnipātatah /	
kadācinmandagamanā kadāciddegavāhinī //	
dvidosakopato jñeyā /	3
anti ca sthānavicyutā /	4
sthitvā sthitvā calati yā sa smṛtā prāṇagāśinī /	
atikṣiṇā ca śītā ca jivitam hintyasamśyam //	5
jvarakopeṇa dhamanī soṣṇā vegavatī bhavet /	
kāmakrodhādvegavahā kṣīnā cintābhayaplutā //	6
mandāgne kṣiṇadhatośca nāḍī mandatarā bhavet /	
asṛikpurṇā bhavetkoṣṇā gurvī sāmā gariyasi //	7
ladvī vahati diptāgnestathā vegavati matā /	
sukhitasya sthirā jñeyā tathā balavatī smṛirtā //	8
capalā kṣudhitasyāpi triptasya vahati sthirā /	9
The pipe (dhamani) at the base of the thumb is an indicator	
of life. From its activity the expert [in pulse reading] can	
recognize the good health <sup>17</sup> or bad conditions of the body.	1
The pulse beat is like the movement of a leech and a snake	
in the event of the disorder of $v\bar{a}ta$ ; in the disorder of the	
pitta the pulse beats like the movement of a sparrow, a	
crown or that of a frog. In the event of a disorder of ślesma	
it beats like the movement of a swan or that of a pigeon.	2
In the event of a combined derangement of all the three	
doṣa of the body, it beats like the movement of a quail and	
that of a partridge.	3

<sup>15.</sup> Śārṅgadhara saṃhithā by Śārṅgadhara, Translated into English by Āyurveda-Vidwān K.R. Sriksanta Murthy, Varanasi, 1995. The saṃhitā is to be placed about 1500 A.D., but since there is a commentary by Vopadeva who flourished about 1300 A.D., and must have been written at the latest in the 13<sup>th</sup> century. (Jolly, op. cit., p. 5).

<sup>16.</sup> Cf. D.Wujastyk, *The Roots of Ayurveda*, New Delhi, 1998, pp. 302-304. 17. Ca. Sū. XXX, 22-24; Ca. Sū. I, 41-42; Ca. Sū. XXX, 26 for definition of "life".

A pulse beat which is slow at one time and fast on the	
other signifies the disorders of two <i>doṣa</i> and it kills the patient	4
when it is in no proper order.	
Also the one which beats with stops is know to destroy the	
life. The pulse which is too weak and cold, no doubt, destroys	
the life.	5
In the event of rise in the temperature the pulse is warm	
and fast; it becomes fast on account of excessive love and	
anger, but becomes feeble in the event of fear and anxiety.	6
The pulse of one with weak digestion and wasted away	
humour is even weaker; in the event of (high) blood pressure	
it becomes heavy and warm, its becomes heavy like a stone,	
sick and heavier.	7
The pulse of one with good digestion is light and it is said	
to be fast. The one of a healthy people is constant and it's	
said to be forceful.	8
The pulse of one who is hungry is unsteady and that of	
one satisfied is constant.	9

The works on  $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}s\bar{a}stra$  in the Sanskrit medical literature belong to two groups:

- monographic works <sup>18</sup>
- treatment on the topic in the diagnosis section of a *saṃhitā*  $^{19}$ .

From the first group we have examined the  $N\bar{a}\bar{d}\bar{i}vij\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$  of Kanāda and the  $N\bar{a}\bar{d}\bar{i}par\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$  of Rāvana <sup>20</sup>.

<sup>18.</sup> G.J. Meulenbeld, A History of Indian Medical Literature, Groningen, 2000, volume IIA, pp. 421-433. V. Raghavan, New Catalogus Catalogorum, an Alphabetical Register of Sanskrit and Allied Works and Authors, volume Ten, Madras, 1978, pp. 28-29; P.V. Sharma, R.S. Triphati, A Descriptive Catalogue of Manuscripts on Ayurveda in the Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, 1984, pp. 61-62. D. Wuyastik, A Handlist of Sanskrit and Prakrit manuscripts in the Library of the Wellcome Institute of Medicine, London, 1965, pp. 190-191. B.R. Rao, A Check List of Sanskrit Medical Manuscripts in India, New Delhi, 1972, pp. 36-37.

<sup>19.</sup> G.J. Meulenbeld, "The Surveying of Sanskrit Medical Literature", in *Proceedings of the International Workshop of Priorities in the study of Indian Medicine*, ed. by G.J. Meulenbeld, Groningen, 1984, pp. 45-46; p. 65.

<sup>20.</sup> Nādīvijñāna of Maharṣi Kaṇāda with Viyotini Hindi Commentary, edited by Dr. I.D. Tripathi, Varanasi, 1957. Nādīvijñāna of Maharṣi Kaṇāda, edited by A. Vidyasagana, revised and enlarged by A.B. Vidyabhuṣana and N.B. Vidyaratna, Calcutta, 1921. Nādīparīksā of Rāvana, edited by G.P. Upadhyaya, Varanasi, 1981.

From the second group we have examined – besides Śārngadhara samhitā:

- Bhāvaprakāśa <sup>21</sup>. This work was written no later than 1558-1559. Bhāvaprakāśa is the first text of Āyurveda to describe syphilis (piraṅgaroga) and the drug used to treat it (cobacīnī) and both disease and drug were imported into India by the Portuguese about 1535 <sup>22</sup>. The verses 11-22 of rogi parīkṣāprakaranam illustre the method of nādīpārīksā.
- Yogaratnākara<sup>23</sup>. The author is unknown. It covers whole medicine with the exception of surgery. It cannot be composed lather than 1746, the date of the earlier manuscript used for the edition<sup>24</sup>.

Vv.1-8 of *«atha rogināmaṣṭhasthānanirīkṣaṇam»* and vv. 1-43 of *«atha nāḍīparīkṣā»* describe the diagnostic method, linked with classical nosology of *āyurveda*.

# Todarananda-Āyurveda Saukhyam<sup>25</sup>

The *saṃhitā* is one of twenty three encyclopaedic works on different topics written around 1590 by different authors, commissioned by Ṭoḍara Malla <sup>26</sup>. The *saṃhitā* tries to compose from many different sources the most complete exposition of the Āyurveda. Chapter 6, 1-25 illustrates *nāḍīpārīkṣā*.

We will try to illustrate  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$  and  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}p\bar{a}r\bar{i}k\bar{s}a$  by the description of the method as it is illustrated in quoted works on this topic <sup>27</sup> –

<sup>21.</sup> Bhāvaprakāśa of Śri Bhāvamiśra, edited with «Vidyotini» Hindi Commentary, Notes and Appendix by Śri Brahmaśańkara Miśra and Śri Rūpalālaji Vaiśya, Varanasi, 1993 (first edition, Varanasi, 1961).

<sup>22.</sup> Jolly, op. cit., p. 3.

<sup>23.</sup> Yogaratnākara, with «Vidyotini» Hindi Commentary by Vaydia L. Śāstrī, edited by B. Śāstrī, Varanasi, 1993 (first edition, Varanasi, 1955).

<sup>24.</sup> Jolly, op. cit., p. 3.

<sup>25.</sup> Todarānanda-Āyurveda Saukhyam series, edited by Bh. Dash and L. Kashyap, 9 vol. New Delhi, 1980.

<sup>26.</sup> Vizir and Governator of Gujarat with Imperator Akbar (1556-1605). See A.T. Embree, F. Wilhelm, *Storia Universale Feltrinelli-India*, Milano, 1978, pp. 26-247.

<sup>27.</sup> A. Chiantaretto, *Il Nādīvijñāna di Kanāda. La pulsologia nei testi della medicina Āyurvedica*, Torino, 1996-97. Tesi di Laurea, Facoltà di Lettere e Filosofia dell'Università degli Studi di Torino.

they are quite different from the point of view of extension, bigger in monographic works than in encyclopaedic works – and wrote during a very long period, from the Middle Age of Śārngadhara saṃhitā to the 18<sup>th</sup> century of *Yogaratnākara*.

But the method remains basically unchanged from  $Sarngad-harasamhit\bar{a}$  to  $Yogaratn\bar{a}kara$ . What is changing is that during these many centuries  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$  is applied to a growing numbers of problems of diagnosis and prognosis and becomes more and more important in the practical medicine and, finally, is definitively included in the corpus of  $\bar{A}yurveda$ , as a part of  $Astasth\bar{a}napar\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$ .

Thus, Āyurveda Saukhyam by Todarananda, 6, 26-27 says: "According to Ātreya, first of all the physician should examine the patient by darśana (examining), sparśana (touching), praśana (questioning) and nādīvijñāna (pulse reading) and thereafter he should determine the exact nature of the disease". All the chapters of Carakasaṃhitā and others medical saṃhitā, like Astānga Hṛdayam, Astānga Saṃgraha, etc. start with the reference to him. Ātreya, venerable rṣi, favoured of Indra, is the first man who learnt the medicine from Gods and gave that to the mankind <sup>28</sup>.

*"Iti ha smāha bhagavān Ātreya"* ("In this way Ātreya spoke"). If Ātreya spoke on *nāḍīvijñāna*, *nāḍīvijñāna* too is revealed from Gods to the mankind and then it's definitively included as integral part of revealed *Āyurveda*.

## The anatomy of nādīparīkṣā

"The pipe at the base of the thumb is a indicator of life" 29.

"The physician must examine through the palpation the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  at the root of the thumb" 30.

"[The physician] must examine at the wrist the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{\iota}$  or the *dhamani* that runs from the right hand to the right foot, at the root of the thumb",

<sup>28.</sup> J. Filliozat, op. cit., p. 57.

<sup>29.</sup> Śārnga. "1, 3,1 karasyāngustamūle yā dhamanī jiyasāksinī".

<sup>30.</sup> Nā.vi., 7: "ekā parīksanīyā yā daksinakaracaranavinyastā".

#### because

"there is a pipe passing trough the wrist, which is situated in the middle of other pipes, it has been named as the life-pulse <sup>31</sup> by the scholar Nandi" <sup>32</sup>.

"The pipe situated at the root of the thumb is specially examined" <sup>33</sup>.

"A physician should carefully feel the pulse of the females in the left hand and in the left foot and the pulse of the males should be felt on the right side" <sup>34</sup>.

There is also the prescription to examine the same  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  on the right foot <sup>35</sup>, and the palpation of the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  on the foot is prescribed together with the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  of the pulse, of course at right in the man and on the left for the woman <sup>36</sup>.

There is also the indication to the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$  in two hands; in the  $p\bar{a}nipa$  (lips), kantha (throat),  $n\bar{a}sa$  (nose), aksi (eyes), kanna (ear),  $jihv\bar{a}nta$  (tip of the tongue), medhraka (back of the penis) <sup>37</sup>.

The monographic texts and also the *saṃhitā* use  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  or *dhamanī* for the same pipe to examine and there are no differences between these two words and they are used as synonymous <sup>38</sup>.

But, instead of in the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}s\bar{a}stra$  literature, in the classical  $samhit\bar{a}$  literature <sup>39</sup> we don't find any suggestion that these words are synonymous, and " $dham\bar{a}n\bar{a}ddhamanyah\ sravan\bar{a}t\ srot\bar{a}msi$ 

<sup>31.</sup> In Nā.pa., 4 nādī, instead of dhamanī as in Śārngadharasamhitā.

<sup>32.</sup> Or *Nanti*, the first of eightheen *Siddha*, to whom the tradition gives the elaboration of the *Siddha* medicine developed in the South of India and written in tamil. Cf. G. Mazars, "Les textes médicaux tamoul", in *Proceedings* cit., p. 124.

<sup>33.</sup> Nā.pa., 5a.

<sup>34.</sup> *ibid.*, 8a.

<sup>35.</sup> Nā.vi., 38.

<sup>36.</sup> Nā.pa., 8-10; *Yo., atha roginām*, 11; Todarānanda, 6, 5. Cf. K. R. L. Gupta, *Science of Sphygmica or Sage Kaṇāda on Pulse*,  $2^{nd}$  ed, New Delhi, 1987, p. 7-8: "... The pulse examination must be done in this way, because *Dattatreya*, an authority in  $\bar{a}yurveda$ , wrote in his  $saṃhit\bar{a}$  that the head of  $k\bar{u}rma$  in the female is upward, instead of is downward in the male". See *infra* for the explication of indication of the  $par\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$  on the right side in the male and on the left side in the female.

<sup>37.</sup> *Nāḍīdarpaṇa*, vv. 51-55 quoted in S. K. R. Rao, *Encyclopaedia of Indian Medicine*, Bangalore, 1985, vol. 3, pp. 130-131. Cf. G.J. Meulenbeld, *History* cit., vol. IIA, p. 425.

<sup>38.</sup> Nā.vi., 15: "snāyur nāḍī vasā himsrā dhamanī dharā/ tantuko jivitajña ca sirāparyāvācikā".

<sup>39.</sup> Su. Śā. IX, 1.

saraṇātsirāḥ" <sup>40</sup> seems suggest like a different specialization of these different structures: "dhamanī- dhamanāt- have the specialization of the pulsation; srota- sravanāt (from the transudation) and sira of the simple carry (saraṇāt). All these structures are included in the catalogue of visible and invisible spaces of the human body" <sup>41</sup>.

As we can see in many works on  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}s\bar{a}stra^{42}$ , the description of the anatomy of the system of  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  or *dhamanī* that comes from the transphysiologic and mystic anatomy of the  $yoga^{43}$  rather than from the classic  $\bar{a}$ yurvedic physiologic-anatomy  $^{44}$ , may be can give some elements to the discussion on the origin of the method and on his contiguity with or his derivation from other cultural traditions or medical systems  $^{45}$ .

So the origin of the twenty four main *dhamani* is not from the *nabhi*, but from the twenty four channels presents, two in the head, two in the nail and five each of the hands and legs of the tortoise  $(k\bar{u}rma)^{46}$  who is placed in the navel region (nabhide sa), with his head on the left and his tail on the right of nabhide sa.

<sup>40.</sup> Ca. Sū. XXX, 12.

<sup>41.</sup> Ca.Vi. V, 9.

<sup>42.</sup> see vv.2-9 of *Nādīcakra*, manuscript 776 South Asian MS Collection, Wellcome Institute for the History of Medicine, London. Cf. also works on *nādīṣāstra* in Meulenbeld, *History* cit., vol. IIA, pp. 421-433.

<sup>43.</sup> M. Eliade, *Tecniche dello Yoga*, Torino, 1984, p. 178-181. 1e éd., *Techniques du yoga*, Paris, 1948. S. Piano, *Enciclopedia dello Yoga*, Torino, 1996, p. 219.

<sup>44.</sup> Su. Śā. VII, 4: "all *sirā* (pipes) of human body are coming from the navel", but in A.h. Śā. III, 18-19: "ten *sirā* are connected with the heart (*daśamālasirā hṛṣthāsthāḥ*)".

<sup>45.</sup> On the problem of the origin of the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$  and the links with other medical systems, like  $\bar{U}n\bar{a}ni$  and Siddha Medicine or with pre-existing pulsology in tantric literature, see: Jolly, op. cit., pp. 5, 22, 28; G.J. Meulenbeld, "The surveying of Sanskrit medical literature" in *Proceedings* cit., p. 45.

R.I. Verma, N.H. Keswani, "Ūnāni Medicine in Medieval India" in *The Science of Medicine and Physiological Concepts in Ancient and Medieval India*, ed. by N.H. Keswani, XXVI International Congress of Physiological Sciences, Departement of Physiology, All-India Insitute of Medical Science, New Delhi, 1974, pp. 127-141; R.I. Verma, "Indo-Arab relations in Medical Sciences", in *History of Medicine in India*, ed. by P.V. Sharma, New Delhi, 1992, pp. 466-469. See A. Chiantaretto, Il *Nādīvi-jñāna* cit., pp. 25-31.

<sup>46.</sup> All the chapters on  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$  in the  $samhit\bar{a}$  don't refer to the  $k\bar{u}rma$ .  $K\bar{u}rma$  in the yogic literature is one of five major types of  $pr\bar{a}na$  ( $n\bar{a}ga$ ,  $k\bar{u}rma$ , krikara,  $devad\bar{a}tta$ ,  $dhananj\bar{a}ya$ ).  $K\bar{u}rma$  is responsible for opening and winking of the eyelids. See O.P. Jaggi, History of Science and Technology in India. Yogic and Tantric Medicine, vol. V, New Delhi, 1973, p. 61.

This is the position of  $k\bar{u}rma$  in the male, instead of in the female, where the  $k\bar{u}rma$  has his head placed on the right and the tail on the left, so left arm and left leg are upward in the man, while in the fe-male are downward. The system of *dhamani* turns on the right in the man and on the left in the female and  $n\bar{a}\bar{d}ipariks\bar{a}$  is made at the left wrist of the lady <sup>47</sup>.

### The act of nādīparīkṣā

Both, the *vaidya* and the patient must be comfortably seated: the arm of the patient must be stretched out without feeling any pain in it; the hand of the patient must be free, nor to far nor to near to the physician, slightly flexed at the forearm.

The fingers of the hand of the patient must be little spread out <sup>48</sup>.

The physician with his left hand slightly massages the hand of the patient and then holds the elbow of the patient with his left hand and then gently places the fore finger, the middle finger and the ring finger of his own right hand on the lower face of the pulse of the patient <sup>49</sup>.

The fore finger, the first the physician should use, is placed at the distance of one finger from the root of the thumb.

He should press gently, but with right pressure, under the three fingers again and again for three times.

Every times the pressure on the wrist is released, the fingers of the physician come off from the pulse of the patient <sup>50</sup>.

# The time of nādīparīkṣā

The doctor must check the pulse of the patient early morning  $(pr\bar{a}tah)^{51}$ .

<sup>47.</sup> See Nā.pa., 11; Nā.vi., 8-9; Bhā.pra. 7, 11-12.

See reference on *Nāḍijñāprakāśikā*, Madras, 1880 quoted in Rao, *Encyclopaedia* cit., vol. III, pp. 138-144. Meulenbeld, *History* cit., vol. IIA, pp. 425-426.

<sup>48.</sup> Nā.vi., 11.

<sup>49.</sup> Nā.pa., 9-10.

<sup>50.</sup> Yo., atha nādīparīksā, 3-6. Bhā.pra. 7, 11-12.

<sup>51.</sup> Nā.vi., 12.

The healthy man must to get up from 3 a.m. to 6 a.m., to retain his own wellbeing. During this time ( $br\bar{a}hme\ muh\bar{u}rte$ ) it is easier to obtain the right knowledge ( $br\bar{a}hma$ ), after he made his daily rules ( $dinacary\bar{a}$ ) <sup>52</sup>. The vaidya must comfortably seat and he must check the pulse of the patient, who has done his day-to-day rules and he is comfortably seated <sup>53</sup>.

The  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  characteristics change during the day: "In the early morning the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  is of the right viscosity, at noon is hot, in the evening is quikly running – if the patient is without chronic diseases". (prātaḥ snigdhamayo <sup>54</sup> nādīmaddhyāhne puṣṇatānvitā <sup>55</sup> / sāyāhne dhāvamānā ca cirādrogavivarjitā //) <sup>56</sup>.

They also change with the conditions of the body and there are many situations that don't permit to do a right  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}k_{\bar{i}}\bar{a}$ , e.g. immediately after the meals, after the bath, immediately after the massage with oils (*abhiyanga*), immediately after to wake up <sup>57</sup>.

### The interpretation of nādīparīksā

"The pulse of the sick, the innocent and the senseless is like a lamp for (showing) the objects: it manifests the ills of the body, [each *dosa*] separately, all together and in pair "58.

How does interpret the *vaidya* the beating of  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  that he fells under his three fingers of his right hand?

<sup>52.</sup> They are both a matter of religion as well as of medicine (answering natures call, cleaning of body, of teeth, tongue, mouth, eyes, etc.). See Jolly, *Medicine* cit., p. 45; Rao, *Encyclopaedia* cit., vol. II, pp. 46-49.

<sup>53.</sup> A.h. Sū., 2,1.

<sup>54.</sup> snigdha, the right viscosity refers to the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  in which rasa (or  $pr\bar{a}na$ ) smoothly runs.

<sup>55.</sup> The increased warmth of the pulse is from the increased *pitta*.

<sup>56.</sup> The changes of the characters of the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  are consensual to the changes of the three *doṣa*: kapha is higher in the morning  $-n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  smothly runs; pitta is growing up in the middle of the day  $-n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  is hot; in the evening it is running and by nigth, when  $v\bar{a}ta$  is decreased,  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  is slowly running.

<sup>57.</sup> Nā.vi., 12-13; Todaraṇanda 6, 1.Yo., *atha rogiṇām*, 9 adds: "...the pulse of these cannot be properly felt one who is hungry, thirsty and asleep". Bhā.pra. 6, 3 adds: "... whose body is tired...".

<sup>58.</sup> Nā. pa., 3; Yo., atha rogīnām, 6; Śārnga. I, 3,1; Bhā.pra. 6, 1.

ādau ca vahate vāto madhye pittam tathaiva ca / ante ca vahate ślesmā nādīkātrayalaksanām // 59

"Under the first finger we can appreciate *vāta*; under the middle finger we appreciate *pitta* and with the last finger we can appreciate *kapha*...".

vātadhikā vahenmadhye tvagre vahati pittalā / ante ca vahati śleṣmā miśrite miśralaksanā // <sup>60</sup>

"Excess of *vāta* we appreciate under the middle part of the forefinger; excess of *pitta* under the first part of middle finger; we appreciate the excess of *kapha* under the last part of ring finger..."

ādau ca vahate pittam madhye ṣleṣmā tathaiva ca / ānte prabhañjano jñeyah sarvaśāstraviśāradaih //<sup>61</sup>

"At the start of examination we appreciate pitta; in the middle time we appreciate kapha and at the end of examination we appreciate  $v\bar{a}ta...$ "

From *Nāḍīvijñāna* of Kaṇāda vv. 16, 17, 18 we can explain the correct way to make the pulse examination linked with *doṣa* by identifying three elements:

- 1) the three fingers of the *vaidya*;
- 2) the localisation of the points of the palpation of the three kinds of pulse;
- 3) the time sequence (during the  $par\bar{i}k\bar{s}a$ ) of identification of different kinds of pulsations.

DOṢA	finger of vaidya	point of palpation	time sequence of palpation	
vāta	ādau	ādau	ānte	
	with forefinger	in first point	last	
pitta	madhye	madhye	ādau	
	with middle finger	in middle point	first	
kapha	ānte	ānte	madhye	
	with ring finger	in last point	intermediate	

<sup>59.</sup> Nā.vi., 16; Nā. pa., 11-12.

<sup>60.</sup> Nā.vi., 17.

<sup>61.</sup> Nā.vi., 18.

### The use of nādīparīksā. I

"The  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  reveals every thing concerning  $v\bar{a}ta$ , pitta and kapha operating separately, in pairs <sup>62</sup> and jointly <sup>63</sup> and  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  permits to now rasa and blood and permits to understand the difference between curable and incurable disease" <sup>64</sup>.

In the doctrine of  $\bar{A}$ yurveda  $^{65}$  the disease is produced from imbalanced dosa and the health is produced from balanced dosa and  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$ , that links up every pulsation of  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  with balanced or imbalanced dosa, allows the physician to directly enter in the core of the system of physiopathology. Every kind of imbalanced dosa happens, there is a typical change in  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ : then, by the characterics of the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  all process of physiology and physiopathology, prognosis and diagnosis can be detected step by step by  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$ .

So we don't be amazed if the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}k\bar{s}\bar{a}$  from the Middle Age until modern Ayurveda became the most important and used diagnostic instrument in traditional indian medicine: it surely is the most flexible, direct and inclusive: "As a string in the musical instrument known as  $v\bar{a}ta$  expresses various notes of a music, similarly the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  manifests all diseases"  $^{66}$ .

## The language of nādīparīksā

To understand its language, we try to examine the two basic description of the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ : the healthy  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  with balanced dosa and the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ , at the extreme opposite, with imbalanced dosa. The description of healthy  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  is: "If the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  is felt as in the right conditions, if beats pure and not flickering, if beats in the right position, then is a good

<sup>62.</sup> dvandvam: vāta-kapha; vāta-pitta; pitta-kapha. The combination of two dosa is also defined samsarga (A.h. Sū. 1, 12).

<sup>63.</sup> saṃnipāta: vāta-pitta-kapha. Cf. A.h. Sū.1,12; Ca. Vi. VI, 10. G.J. Meulenbeld, *The Mādhavanidāna and its chief commentary, Chapters 1-10, Introduction, Translation and Notes*, Leiden, 1974, p. 165 suggests for saṃnipāta the translation: "close union of all three morbific entities").

<sup>64.</sup> Nā.vi., 10; Nā.pa., 13-17; Yo., atha rogīnām, 12-13.

<sup>65.</sup> A.h. Sū. 1, 19.

<sup>66.</sup> Yo., atha rogīnām, 3.

sign of all the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ " <sup>67</sup> and: "The  $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  of the healthy man is clearly felt like the movement of an earthworm. The  $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  who runs steady and full is of a healthy man" <sup>68</sup>.

The ill pulse is defined: "In the situations with imbalanced  $v\bar{a}ta$  the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  is tortuous; it is tremolous in the imbalanced *pitta*; in the imbalanced *kapha* is steady. When the imbalanced *doṣa* are mixed, also the movement of the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  is mixed" <sup>69</sup>.

"The expert doctors say that in the excess of  $v\bar{a}ta$  the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  is like the movement of the snake and of the leech; with *pitta* the movement is like a crow, sparrow and frog" <sup>70</sup>.

"The movement of the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  with excess of *kapha* is like a movement of the swan, the pigeon and the cock" <sup>71</sup>.

The description of the pulse is made by using two elements:

- 1) the different way of pulsation activity of the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ , i.e. the movements of the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ ;
- 2) the qualities the characteristics of the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ .

As regards the first point, the peculiarity of the movements of the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{n}$  is always defined by one or more adjectives and often described by a similitude with the movement of one or more animals <sup>72</sup>.

As we can read in many works of nāḍīśāstra 73:

 vāta nādī is defined vakrā (tortuous) and is described as sarpajalaukā 74.

<sup>67.</sup> Nā.vi., 58 "suyaktatā nirmalatvam svasthānasthitireva ca / acañcalyama-mandatvam sarvāsām subhalaksaṇam //". This verse is in continuity with Nā.vi.16-20.

<sup>68.</sup> Nā.vi., 19: "bhulatābhujagaprāyā svacchā svāstyamayo sirā / sukhitasya sthirā jñeyā balavatī matā //".

<sup>69.</sup> Nā.vi., 21: "vātādvakragatā nāḍī capalā pittavāhinī / sthirā śleṣmavatī jñeyā miśrite miśrita bhavet //".

<sup>70.</sup> Nā.vi., 22: "sarpajalaukādigatim vadanti vibudhāḥ prabhañjanena nāḍīm / pittena kākalāvabhekādigatim viduḥ sudhiyaḥ //".

<sup>71.</sup> Nā.vi., 23: "rājahamsamayurāṇām pārāvatakapotayoḥ / kukkuṭasya gati dhatte dhamani kaphasambhrā //".

<sup>72.</sup> In the works on  $n\bar{a}d\bar{s}\bar{s}stra$  the likeness with the movement of the animals and the fly of the birds are used only for the typology of the movement and of the fly, without any specification that allows a taxonomic identification of the animals.

<sup>73.</sup> Nā.vi., 21, 22, 23; Yo. 14,15; Nā.pa., 17; Śārnga. I, 3, 2-3ab.

<sup>74.</sup> Nā.vi., 24, 31, 83, 111.

- pitta nādī is defined capala (flickering) and described as kākalāvabhekā.
- kapha nāḍī is defined sthirā (steady) and described as rājahamsamayurānām pārāvata kapotayoh kukkutas.

These descriptions mean that there are used two kind of languages for the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}k\bar{s}\bar{a}$ : one is an abstract language and it refers to the cultural experience and in this context it refers to the range of shared meaning of the used adjectives (vakra, capala, sthira); the other one is a concrete language and, by the use of the similitude, refers to the common experience.

If Kaṇāda defines the *pitta nāḍī* as *capalā*, all his readers can understand what the author want to communicate about the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  – but they must to share with the author the same range of specialized meanings of *capala* as "flickering". But if the author says: "*pitta sarpajalaukā* ( $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ )", the reader immediately transforms what the physician feels under his fingers with  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$  into a visual representation of common experience by which he can immediately identify the character of the movement. The jumping frog is *pitta nādī*, what can make the reader able to immediately distinguish that one from  $r\bar{a}jaham$ -samayurānām  $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}$ -vatakapotayoḥ- that is kapha nādī, as the sarpaja or lauka movement is the vāta nādī. Also if he don't understand what is the meaning of *capala*, he now, because he is able to see, the movement of the frog or of the other animals. As regards the movement, all other possible characteristics come of course from the combination of these three movements  $^{75}$ .

As refers to the second point, in  $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}s\bar{a}stra$  the characters of the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  are described – using an abstract language – also by the same quality (*guna*) of the substances <sup>76</sup>:

```
guru heavy – laghu light <sup>77</sup> 
snigdha oleaginous – rūkṣa dry <sup>78</sup>
```

<sup>75.</sup> This language changes by the time in the literature on  $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}s\bar{a}stra$ . We can suppose that the two kind of language are meaning also two kinds of teaching, from the textes and from the teacher to the pupil.

<sup>76.</sup> Ca. Śā. 6, 10. Of ten pairs of opposites, in Nā.vi and in Nā.pa. are used only seven pairs and not the last three pairs: *viśada* (clear)-*picchila* (mucilaginous); *ślakṣṇa* (smooth) – *khara* (harsh); *sāndra* (viscid) – *drava* (liquid).

<sup>77.</sup> Nā.vi., 103, 106, 110.

<sup>78.</sup> *ibid.*, 90.

sīta cold — uṣṇa hot <sup>79</sup>
sthira solid — sara flowing <sup>80</sup>
manda sluggish — tīkṣṇa sharp <sup>81</sup>
mṛdu soft — kaṭhina hard <sup>82</sup>
sthūla gross — sūkṣma subtle <sup>83</sup>
mandā slow — druta, śigrhra fast
sthirā firm — capalā not firm
balavatī strong — śanta not strong
sūkṣmā thin — sthūlā full
śitā, uṣṇā cold — tapitā hot
kathinā hard — komalā weak

### The use of nādīparīkṣā. II

According to the classical indian medicine that distinguish six rasa (taste) of nourishings <sup>84</sup> and according to the theory of influence of rasa on  $v\bar{a}ta$ , pitta and kapha <sup>85</sup>,  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}par\bar{i}k\bar{s}a$  indicates the process of balanced or imbalanced  $do\bar{s}a$ .

85. From Ca. Sū., XXVI, 41-43 it's possible to resume the action of rasa on  $v\bar{a}ta$ , pitta and kapha:

(+ = increase - = decrease)						
Rasa	vāta	pitta	kapha			
madhura	-	-	+			
amla	-	+	+			
lavaṇa	-	+	+			
katu	+	+	-			
tikta	+	-	-			
kaṣaya	+	-	-			

<sup>79.</sup> ibid., 27, 74, 87, 91, 20, 79, 96, 99, 100.

<sup>80.</sup> *ibid.*, 19, 21, 27, 30, 31, 41, 65, 74, 76, 95, 91, 104.

<sup>81.</sup> ibid., 30, 85, 87, 89, 98, 104,

<sup>82.</sup> ibid., 65, 85, 88, 102.

<sup>83.</sup> *ibid.*, 85, 88, 27, 30, 57, 59, 85, 91.

<sup>84.</sup> madhura (sweet), amla (acid), lavaṇa (saline), kaṭu (pungent), tikta (bitter), kaṣaya (astringent) (Ca. Sū. XXVI, 8). The properties of rasa depend from the prevalence or the character of one or more of five mahābhūta (gross element): ākāsa (space or emptiness), vāyu (air), agni (fire), āpaḥ (water), pṛthvī (earth). Of many combinations of rasa to form the complex rasa of the different substances, there are fifty seven combinations and sixty three kinds of rasa that are useful for the therapy (Ca. Sū. XXVI, 15-24). The nourishings have the same sāmānyaguṇa (general properties) as all the other substances.

#### Thus:

- with rasa madhura (sweet) nāḍī is nāḍībarhigamanā (like a peacock).
- With amla (acid) nāḍī is plavagatiḥ (like a frog).
- With *titka* (bitter), the *nādī* is *bhulatgatih* like a worm.
- With katu (pungent), the nāḍī is bhangasannibhā (like a big black bee).
- With kaṣāya (astringent) the nādī is kaṭhinā, mlāna.
- With *lavaṇa* (saline) the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  is *saralā*,  $drut\bar{a}$  (no tortuous and speedy) <sup>86</sup>.

Besides the identification of imbalanced *doṣa*, *nāḍīparīkṣā* is used in the diagnosis of nosology of āyurvedic medicine. With special reference to *Nāḍīvijñāna* of Kaṇāda and to *Nāḍīparikṣā* of *Rāvaṇa*, we can identify the diagnosis of different types of *jvara* <sup>87</sup> (*vātajvara*, *pittajvara*, *kaphajvara*, *āgantujvara*, *bhūtajvara*) <sup>88</sup>.

It is used in the diagnosis of the diseases of imbalanced *agni* <sup>89</sup>, like *ajīrna* (disorders of the process of disintegration of food <sup>90</sup>; *grahaṇīroga* (chronic dysentery) <sup>91</sup>; *atisāra* (acute dysentery) <sup>92</sup>, *viṣṭambhagulma* (obstruction, constipation) <sup>93</sup>, *āgantu vraṇa* (wounds) <sup>94</sup>, *nādī vrana* (ulcerative fistulas) <sup>95</sup>.

As regard the prognosis, we can find two main applications of  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}pariks\bar{a}$ : as prognostic of favourable or unfavourable prognosis <sup>96</sup> and as  $mrtyuk\bar{a}laj\bar{n}\bar{a}na$  (the knowledge of the moment of the death).

<sup>86.</sup> Nā. vi., 64-67.

<sup>87.</sup> Ibid., 80-83; 86-89; 90-91; 94-96; 98-99. Nā. pa. 53-54; 56-57.

<sup>88.</sup> For the classification of *jvara*, see Ca. Ni. III, 346.

<sup>89.</sup> cf. Ca. Sū. XII,10 and Ca. Sū. XXVII, 342 and Ca. Ci. XV, 5 on the function of agni in the human body. See also Bh. Dash, Concept of agni in Ayurvedic Medicine with special reference to Agnibala Parīksā, Varanasi, 1971, pp. 81-96.

<sup>90.</sup> Meulenbeld, Mādavanidāna cit., p. 621.

<sup>91.</sup> Nā.vi., 107-108. Ca. Ci. XV, 57-58.

<sup>92.</sup> cf. Ca. Ci. XV, 57-58; Ca. Ci. XIX, 9.

<sup>93.</sup> Nā.vi.,113. Meulenbeld, *Mādavanidāna* cit., p. 501 literaly translates: "a constrained condition (of impurities)". In Ca. Ci. XV, 45 *viṣṭambha* is caused by alterated *agni*.

<sup>94.</sup> Nā.vi.,115. See Su. Ci. II, 21-22 on different type of agantu vrana.

<sup>95.</sup> Nā.vi., 115. Jolly, op. cit., p. 132.

<sup>96.</sup> Nā.vi., 30, 31, 32, 33, 34: Nā.pa., 71, 75, 77, 81, 89, 93, 94.

We can find this last section in all works on  $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}s\bar{a}stra$ , always shorter in  $sanhit\bar{a}$  than in monographic works <sup>97</sup>.

With an unfavourable diagnosis, the characteristic of the pulse can suggest in how many days or how many *prahara* <sup>98</sup> the patient will die.

The  $n\bar{a}d\bar{u}$  can indicate the death as in half *prahara* as in five or six days <sup>99</sup>.

The important presence of *mṛtyukālajñāna* can be interpreted with the high practical value that it could have in the material and spiritual life of ancient India.

E. Ghosh <sup>100</sup> suggests that according to the Hindu customs, there is a ceremony of transporting the patient to the bank of the river Ganga and letting him die on the river side. As the dying man has sometimes to be brought from long distances, is very important that the death time should be known at least approximately.

evam samkhyādibhedena nādī jñeyā vicaksaṇaiḥ / svarge api durlabhā vidhyā gopanīyā prayatnataḥ // 101

<sup>97.</sup> see Meulenbeld, *History* cit., vol. IIA, pp. 421-433.

<sup>98.</sup> A division of time = 6 or  $7 n\bar{a}dik\bar{a}h$ .

<sup>99.</sup> Nā.vi., 35-48; 59. Nā.pa., 70-73; 78-85; 87-88.

<sup>100. &</sup>quot;The pulse in prognosis of diseases according to āyurvedic medicine", in *The Journal of Ayurveda*, 6 (1929-1930), pp. 334-340, 454-463; 7 (1930-1931), pp. 12-19, 50-60.

<sup>101.</sup> Nā.vi., 49: "Thus the skilled physicians can read the  $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  with reference to the number and to their classification and this knowledge is difficult to find in heaven near to the Gods and it must be secretly preserved".