KENNETH ROBERT NORMAN

AŚOKA'S THIRTEENTH ROCK EDICT

Introduction

Aśoka's Thirteenth Rock Edict is, to my knowledge, unique among royal inscriptions, of any age or culture, in that it includes a royal statement of repentance. Commenting on it, van Buitenen says¹: "The so-called 13th rock edict – in which Aśoka, after the massacre of the Kalingas (Western Orissa) abjures war – is the most moving document of any dynamic history".

From many points of view this edict is of considerable interest and importance, not least because of the fact that it does not occur at all sites where the series of Rock Edicts is inscribed. The edict is found at Kālsī, Yeggaguḍi, Shāhbāzgarhi, Mānsehrā and Girnār², but

¹ J. A. B. VAN BUITENEN,, (et al.), "Arts of South Asian Peoples", in *The New Encyclopaedia Britannica*, 15th edition, Chicago, 1977, Vol. 17, p. 135.

² Abbreviations: AMg = Ardha-Māgadhī; Gk. = Greek; RE = Rock Edict; K = Kālsī; Y = Yeṛṇagudi; Sh = Shāhbāzgaṛhi; M = Mānsehrā; G = Girnār; Bloch = J. Bloch, Les inscriptions d'Aśoka, Paris, 1950; Hultzsch = E. Hultzsch, Inscriptions of Aśoka, Oxford, 1925; MW = M. Monier-Williams, Sanskrit-English Dictionary, Oxford, 1899; CP = K. R. Norman, Collected Papers I-VI, Oxford 1990-96. When discussing the readings at various sites I normally list them in the order K, Y, Sh, M, G, for consistency – this has no implications about the closeness of any one particular version to Aśoka's original dictation. The abbreviations of the titles of Pāli texts are those adopted by A Critical Pāli Dictionary (= CPD).

not at Dhauli, Jaugaḍa or Sannati, although the evidence at Sannati is inconclusive³. There is a Greek version of a portion of the edict. Although, because of its importance, much attention has been devoted to it, there are still many problems, and discussions of the edict are scattered through the pages of learned journals.

In this paper, offered in honour of Professor G.M. Bongard-Levin, I wish to give further consideration to this edict, including the Greek version where it helps to elucidate the Prakrit versions. I will give a sentence by sentence translation, with discussion of the philological aspects, concentrating upon points of difficulty. Limitations of space made it impracticable to include the complete texts of all the versions of the edict, and Hultzsch's edition must therefore be consulted when the notes are being read. For Yerragudi I follow the readings given in U. Niklas, *Die Editionen der Aśokainschriften von Erragudi*, Bonn, 1990. I make no comment upon words about whose meaning little discussion is needed. My interpretation of them can be deduced from the translation I give. I will conclude by making some general comments upon the edict.

Translation and commentary

[A]⁴ "By His Majesty Piyadassi, when consecrated eight years, the inhabitants of Kalinga were conquered".

(1) K devānampiyaṣa piyadaṣine Y devānampiyasa piyadasine Sh devanapriasa priadraśisa M devanapriyasa priyadraśine. These are genitive forms constructed with the past participle vijita in the sense of the instrumental; cf. note (6) in [E]⁵. Note that Sh omits -y- in both words (cf. note (1) in [B] and note (3) in [X],

³ K. R. NORMAN, "Asokan inscriptions from Sannati", in *South Asian Studies* 7 (1991), pp.101-110 (= CP V, pp. 71-79), in part. p. 102.

⁴ I adopt Hultzsch's sentence letters, and print them in square brackets.

⁵ See C. CAILLAT, "The constructions "mama kṛtam" and "mayā kṛtam" in Asoka's Edicts", in *Proceedings of the XXXII International Congress for Asian and North African Studies*, 1992, p. 489.

- and contrast *priyasa* in [E]), and has the genitive ending *-isa* instead of *-ine*, *i.e.* the ending -(s)sa < Sanskrit -sya is added analogically to an i(n)-stem word.
- (2) Following Benveniste⁶ I take *piyadasi* to be the king's personal name (Πιοδάσσης in Gk.), and *devānaṃpiya* to be a title, comparable to English "His Majesty", and I use this as the translation of the title in this paper.
- (3) Y kaligā K kaligyā Sh M kaliga G kalimgā. K kaligyā probably implies that there was no nasal in the word in the exemplar which the scribe received, and -g- was consequently palatalised > -gy-, in the same way that the change of -k- > -ky- is found with few exceptions at K (see note (6) in [G]). Note that kaligya occurs again at K in [C] and [D], but we find kalimga in [K]. The ending -ā is the nominative plural, and the word therefore means "the inhabitants of Kalinga". The Gk. version has the accusative Tὴν Καλίγγην, showing that the ending -ā of kalimgā was taken to be a feminine singular ending by the translator.

[B] "150,000 people were transported from there; 100,000 were killed there; nearly as many died".

- (1) KYM diyadha Sh diadha, " $1^1/_2$ " < Sanskrit dvyardha. Sh omits y-; cf. note (1) in [A]. It is uncertain whether diyaddha should be interpreted as showing a glide -y- between di and addha, i.e. di-y-addha, or as diy-addha < * dv^i y-ardha, i.e. dvyardha with a svarabhakti vowel evolved in the conjunct -vy-.
- (2) K Y mite Sh M matre. At K Hultzsch and Bloch read māta, where -ā probably represents an incomplete -i (cf. maṃñāsara in note (4) in [X]⁷. Note the reverse of this in G dūti for dūtā (see note (2) in [S]) and Y lājine for lājāne (see note (6) in [Q]).

⁶ E. Benveniste, "Édits d'Asoka en traduction grecque", in *Journal Asiatique* 252 (1964), pp. 137-57, in part. p. 142 foll.

⁷ See K. R. NORMAN, "Notes on the Aśokan Rock Edicts", in *Indo-Iranian Journal* 10 (1967), pp. 160-70 (= CPI, pp. 47-58), p. 163.

- We may assume that the original version had mita (== mitta) < *m-i-tra (cf. the past participle m-i-ta), cf. AMg metta.
- (3) K Y taphā Sh tato. K Y taphā (< Sanskrit tasmāt) must be the original eastern form, left untranslated by the scribes. Note that in [C] [F] and [K] K Y (where legible) have tato, i.e. a western form.
- (4) The compound bahutāvatake is translated "many times as many" by Hultzsch⁸ and "plusieurs fois ce nombre" by Bloch⁹, although bahu- does not normally mean "many times". The Gk version has σχεδόν ἄλλοι τοσοῦτοι ἐτελεύτησαν "nearly as many others died"¹⁰, which makes it clear that the Gk. translator took bahu- in the sense of "nearly, almost, rather". This meaning is found in Sanskrit, e.g. bahutrivarṣa "well-nigh three years old" (see MW, s.v. bahu). We therefore have a choice of translating bahu-tāvataka as "many times as many" or "almost as many", or of taking bahu and tāvataka as separate words "many, (in fact) so many" (cf. Pāli tāvataka).

[C] "After that, now that the inhabitants of Kalinga have been taken, there is ardent entry into (Sh: practice of) morality, there is love of morality, there is instruction in morality for His Majesty".

- (1) K Sh M tato Y tat. G tatā (where -ā probably represents an incomplete -o, see note (2) in [I], note (3) in [M] and note (1) in [U]). For the western form tato at K see note (3) in [B]. The final vowel at Y is illegible.
- (2) K Y G pachā Sh M paca < paścāt. The loss of aspiration in Sh M probably arises from the weak articulation of the aspirate in the north-western dialect. See note (1) in [AA], and cf. M aaṃ for ahaṃ in RE VI[L] and M maa for maha in RE V[E] and [N].
- (3) Note K kaligya (see note (3) in [A]).

⁸ E. HULTZSCH, *op. cit.*, p. 24.

⁹ J. Bloch, op. cit., p. 125.

¹⁰ E. BENVENISTE, op. cit., p. 139: "à peu près autant sont mortes".

- (4) K dhaṇmavāye Y dhaṇmāvāya Sh dhramaśilana M dhramavaye G dhaṇmavāyo. Except at Y, the second element of the compound seems to be vāya < vyāya < vy-ā-aya, "descent into, entry into". Y seems to include avāya; cf. samavāya in RE XII[I]¹¹. Sh -śilana suggests either that avāya was not current in the dialect and the scribe was forced to replace it, or that the scribe did not recognise the second part of the compound and made a guess as to its meaning.
- (5) K dhaṇmānuṣathi Y dhaṇmanusathi Sh M dhramanuśasti. We may assume that the original version had dhaṇmānusaṭhi (cf. AMg anusaṭṭhi), of which the western version would be dhaṇmānusathi. Where the compound recurs in [S] and in RE III[C], K Y have anusathi and G has anusasṭi, i.e. Y replaced an eastern form by a western one. For the occurrence of -ṭh- and -sṭ-¹².

[D] "But His Majesty has remorse for his conquest of the inhabitants of Kalinga".

- (1) K De Y se Sh so "but". This is the nominative singular neuter of the third person pronoun = Sanskrit tad, the sentence connecting particle (see MW, s.v. tad), but the reading so shows that the scribe at Sh took the word se he received in his exemplar to be masculine. See [E] and [H] (where it is used as a correlative to the relative pronoun and Sh converts to taṃ), and [J] [K] [T] and [U]. In the eastern dialects e and se can only be nominative, which shows that Sanskrit yat ... tat, and Pāli yaṃ ... taṃ in comparable constructions are nominative.
- (2) K anuṣaye Y anusaye Sh anusocana G <anu>sayo < Sanskrit anuśaya "remorse". The occurrence of anusocana "regret" at Sh suggests that the scribe there probably received anusaye written

¹¹ F. EDGERTON, review of BLOCH 1950, in *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 72 (1952), p. 116 and J. BLOCH, op. cit., p. 125, note 5.

¹² See K. R. NORMAN, "The orthography of the Girnar version of the Asokan Rock Edicts", in *Bulletin d'Études Indiennes* 5 (1987), pp. 273-85, in part. pp. 274-77.

- as anusane (because of the similarity of the akṣaras ya and na in Brāhmī¹³), and "restored" it as anus $< oc > ane^{14}$.
- (3) K vijinitu Y vijinit. Sh vijiniti. The form at K. may be an example of the infinitive used as an absolutive, or of the weak grade of itvā, i.e. *-itū. See note (4) in [S]. The form at Sh is an absolutive in -i(t)tī < -itvī.
- (4) K *kaligyāni* Y *kaligāni* Sh *kaligani*. Here *-āni* is the masculine accusative plural ending.

[E] "For conquering the unconquered, when slaughter takes place there, and death and transportation of people, then it is thought of as extremely painful and thought of as serious by His Majesty".

- (1) K vijinamane Sh vijinamano. For the nominative absolute construction¹⁵.
- (2) K Y e Sh yo ... K se Y M se Sh tam G ta "when ... then". Note the use of the third person pronoun as a correlative to the relative pronoun. See note (1) in [D].
- (3) I take $b\bar{a}dham$ to be an adverbial accusative.
- (4) K Y Sh M vedaniya- G vedana-. The form at G probably stands for vedanna- <*vedanya-. K -mute Y -ma.. Sh -mataṃ M -mate G -mata. K -mute shows the labialisation of u after m (cf. note (5) and note (2) in [P]. It seems likely that this was a feature of the original version, which was retained.
- (5) K. gulu-mute Y gulu-mate Sh M G guru-mata. For K -muta see note (4).
- (6) K devānampiyaṣā Y devānampiyasa Sh devānampriyasa M devanapriyasa. These are genitive forms in the sense of

¹³ K. R. NORMAN, "Studies in the epigraphy of the Aśokan inscriptions", in *Studies in Indian Epigraphy (Bhāratīya Purābhilekha Patrikā)* 2 (1975), pp. 36-41 (=CP I, pp. 214-19), in part. p. 37

¹⁴ K. R. NORMAN, "Lexical variation in the Asokan inscriptions", in *Transactions of the Philological Society*, 1970, pp. 121-36 (= CP I, pp. 130-43), in part. p. 134.

¹⁵ See K. R. NORMAN, "Aśoka and capital punishment", in *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society* 1975, pp. 16-24, = CP I, pp. 200-13, in part. p. 212.

instrumentals in combination with *-mata* (see note (1) in [A]). Note that Sh writes *-y-* in *-priyasa* here, as opposed to *priasa* in FA-I.

- [F] "But this is thought of as more serious than that by His Majesty".
- (1) KY Sh M tato. For the western form tato at K Y see note (3) in [B].
- (2) K galumatatale Y gulumatatale Sh gurumatataram. The formation of this is surprising. We might have expected gurutaramata "thought of as more serious". Note K galu here and in [G], in contrast to K gulu in [E], and G garu- in note (6) in [K]. It is uncertain whether this is a genuine formation based on the same grade of the stem as in Sanskrit garīyas, or whether it is by analogy.
- [G] "Whatever brāhmaṇas live there, or śramaṇas or other sects, or householders who are well disposed, of whom there is obedience to authority, obedience to parents, obedience to elders, proper behaviour to friends, acquaintances, companions, relatives, slaves and servants, (and) firm devotion, of them there is injury there or death or separation from dear ones".
- (1) K y. tatā vasati Y e tata vasati Sh ye tatra vasati. Note K y(e), i.e. a western form here, but note K e in [F]; cf. K ye in note (12) in [X], K yeṣaṃ Y y.saṃ in note (1) in [H], K yatā in notes (2) and (6) in [J] and K yata in note (1) in [S]. Note that the singular verb vasati is constructed with plural subjects.
- (2) K eṣ. Sh M eṣa Y esa, this is normally taken as a demonstrative pronoun with agabhutiṣuṣuṣā, etc. It makes better sense, however, if it is taken as the genitive plural of the relative pronoun (= Sanskrit yeṣām), constructed with the correlative K teṣam Y tesa Sh teṣa later in the same sentence. This would seem, then, to be an eastern form which was not recognised by the scribes and consequently not translated into the appropriate western form. If this interpretation is correct, then the previous

clause must end at $vihit\bar{a}$, and since $yesu\ vihit\bar{a}$ makes no sense we must divide $ye\ suvihit\bar{a}$. That this word-division is correct is shown by two facts: (i) Sh and M did not regard yesu as a locative plural, or they would have written yesu, (ii) $ye\ suvihit\bar{a}$ is parallel to $yesam\ suvihit\bar{a}nam\ in\ [H]^{16}$.

- (3) Modern translators, not recognising suvihita- in this paragraph, do not translate it, but where it occurs again in [H] Hultzsch (p. 47) translated "well provided for", as did Sircar¹⁷; Bloch (p. 127) translated "les chanceux"; and R. Thapar¹⁸ "those who are fortunate to have escaped". I translate "who are well disposed". In Sanskrit suvihita- means "well provided with" (see MW, s.v. suvihita-), but the Middle Indo-Aryan equivalents mean "carrying out vidhi well". Jaina Prakrit suvihiya- is used of those carrying out religious vidhi "rite" well¹⁹, and can be translated "pious". Pāli suvihita- occurs in Th 75, where it is used as a parallel to santa- "good" in a context where ariva- "noble" is used elsewhere²⁰ and the commentary paraphrases by ariya-²¹. Aśoka, however, uses vidhi in a non-religious sense as "instruction, order" in Pillar Edict 1[G], and suvihita could therefore be translated as "carrying out the king's orders well, considering the king's interests". This suggestion would seem to supported phrase τὰ τοῦ βασιλέως by the Gk. συμφέροντα νοείν.
- (4) Bloch was tempted²² to explain *agabhuti* as being an abstract noun formed by adding the suffix -ti to *agabhu* (< Sanskrit *agrabhū* "being at the top, at the head of"; see MW, s.v.

¹⁶ See K. R. NORMAN, "Notes on the Asokan Rock Edicts", cit., p. 166.

¹⁷ D. C. SIRCAR, Select Inscriptions, Vol. I, Calcutta, 1965, p. 24.

¹⁸ R. Thapar, Asoka and the decline of the Mauryas, Oxford, 1961, p. 256.

¹⁹ The Prakrit-Hindi dictionary *Pāiasaddamahannavo* states: *suvihia [suvihita] sundara ācaraṇa vālā*, *sadācārī*. The *Ardha-Māgadhī Dictionary* gives: *suvihiya[ta]* "one who observes a prescribed rite".

²⁰ sādhu suvihitāna dassanam ... tasmā sādhu satam samāgamo. Cf. sādhu ariyānam dassanam, Dhp 206.

²¹ sīlādiguņehi susaṃvihitattā bhāvānaṃ parānuddayāya suṭṭhu vihita-dhamma-desanānaṃ arivānam dassanaṃ sādhū ti yoianā. Th-a I 177, 24-26.

²² J. Bloch, op. cit., p. 126 note 7

agrabhū-). It would therefore mean "the state of being in front, at the top, i.e. authority". He remarked, however, that the retroflex -t- at Sh and M precluded this. If bhuti- stands for bhrti-, as Bloch suggested, we might have expected -t- in the eastern versions too, cf. mata- < mrta-, kata- < krta-, bhataka-< bhrtaka- at Y. I would, however, suggest that the absence of t- at the eastern sites does not prove that -t- is an innovation in the north-western sites²³. It is most unlikely that if Sh M received the same exemplar as Y K, in which there was a form with -t-. the scribes in the North-West would replace -t- with -t-. It follows, then, that the form with -t-, i.e. an eastern form, was in the exemplar(s) received at Sh M. Since Y K Sh and M normally follow the same recension of the REs, we should perhaps recognise an occasional sub-recension, with eastern forms sent to the North-West, but with some western forms already inserted in the versions sent to Y K (cf. Sh anuvatanti against K Y anuvatamti in note (5) in [R], and Sh vuta against K Y vuta in note (6) in [S]). If this is so, then bhuti is a genuine eastern form, and it is to be derived < Sanskrit bhyti. I assume that *agra-bhrti must mean «the state of being an agrabhrta "a topmost servant, a high official"».

- (5) Note K galu (for the form see note (2) in [F]). Note that Sh resolves the compound into guruna suṣruśa, where guruna is a genitive plural in the sense of a dative. Cf. -bhaṭakanaṃ in note (7).
- (6) Note K $n\bar{a}tike\bar{s}u$, not $-ikye\bar{s}u$, doubtless arising from the failure of the scribe to make the necessary dialect change of -k->-ky- (cf. note (1) in [AA]).
- (7) K -bhaṭakaṣi Y -bhaṭakaṣi Sh -bhaṭakanaṃ, i.e. Sh replaces the locative singular with a genitive plural. Cf. Sh guruna in (5).

²³ See K. R. NORMAN, "An Aśokan miscellany", in *Festschrift Klaus Bruhn*, Reinbek, 1994, pp. 455-73, in part. p. 469, in contrast to an earlier incorrect explanation in K. R. NORMAN, "Notes on the Greek version of Aśoka's Twelfth and Thirteenth Rock Edicts", in *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, 1972, pp. 111-18 (= CP I, pp. 144-55).

- (8) K ṣamyāpaṭipati Y samyāpaṭipati Sh sammapratipati. It would appear that the conjunct -my- was in the exemplars received at K. and Y. The same is true of the same phrase in RE IX[G] and RE XI[C]. The phrase was probably a cliché, retaining an archaic spelling.
- (9) K. Y. upaghāte. Sh. apagratho (the initial-a- is doubtful). The word at Sh is probably from grath- "to injure" (cf. Kośa grathita < granth- "injured"). It is possible that the exemplar received at Sh contained upaghatha, with assimilation of the aspirates, and the scribe wrote -gratho because he thought he recognised the root grath- "to injure"; cf. Kośa grathita "injured". Cf. note (8) in [H] where the scribe wrote apaghratha, the initial a- seems certain there.
- [H] "Those well disposed ones, whose affection is undiminished, when their friends, acquaintances, companions and relatives come to disaster there, then it is an injury for them indeed".
- (1) K yeşam Y y.sam Sh yeşa M yeşam G yesam. For the western yat K Y, see note (1) in [G].
- (2) K *śinehe* Y M *sinehe* Sh *siho*. The scribe at Sh omitted the akṣara ne from *sineho*.
- (3) K Y e tānaṃ Sh e teṣa M e tan.. Hultzsch prints thus, but Bloch prints as one word, on the grounds that e would be an eastern form in the North-West. I think that Bloch was right to raise an objection (p. 127 note 9), but I think that his reasoning was wrong. I agree with Hultzsch that e is a relative pronoun, but I think that the fact that Sh and M did not write initial y- proves that they did not recognise it as an eastern form of the relative pronoun, but wrongly took eta- as the demonstrative pronoun.
- (4) K se Y se Sh tam G so, I believe that this is the correlative constructed with e "when ... then". See note (1) in [D].
- (5) K -nātikya Y -natike Sh -ñatika G -ñātikā, i.e. we have a dvandva compound at Y in the singular (presumably neuter), expressing categories. This explains the singular verb. G (and

- probably the other sites also) replaced this with a nominative plural form.
- (6) G vyasanaṃ Sh vasana K viyaṣanaṃ, Y viyasanaṃ, i.e. it is accusative the nominative would end in -e and must be the object of pāpunāti. Note that in K pāpunāta the i-mātrā has been omitted from the ta akṣara.
- (7) K evā Y eva Sh vo. For vo used repeatedly as an emphatic particle at Sh, see RE 1[G], III[C], IV[A], IX[J] [K], XII[I], XIV[A].
- (8) Sh apaghratha. Cf. apagratha in note (9) in [G]. The initial a- is certain, but it may have been written because the proceeding vo creates a phonetic ambience which makes writing initial u-unnecessary.
- [I] "And this is bad fortune for all men, and it is thought of as serious by His Majesty".
- (1) K Y paṭibhāge Sh pratibhagaṃ G paṭībhāgo. As in note (5) in [K], Sh takes -bhāga to be neuter, and G takes it as masculine. The translations offered for paṭibhāga- have differed widely. Hultzsch translated (p. 24) "this is shared by all men"; Sircar²⁴ "this fate is shared"; Bloch (p. 127) "participation"; but Woolner²⁵ quoted translations such as "diffused misery" and "violence". Sanskrit bhāga- means "share, lot, fortune" and in the early texts especially "good fortune"; Sanskrit pratibhāga also means "share, portion" (see MW, s.vv. bhāga- and pratibhāga-). The prefix prati- means "against, counter", and in compounds it occasionally has the meaning "contrary to", e.g. prati-kāmin- "contrary to desire, disagreeable" (see MW, s.v. pratikāmin-). If paṭi- has this meaning here, then paṭibhāga-could mean "contrary to good fortune", i.e. "bad fortune".

²⁴ D. C. SIRCAR, op. cit., p. 24.

²⁵ A. C. WOOLNER, Aśoka Text and Glossary, Part II, Calcutta, 1924, s.v. paţibhāge.

- (2) K ca eṣa Y ca se Sh etaṃ M eṣa G c'esā (where -a is an incomplete -o, see note (1) in [C]). We may assume that the original version had ca ese, where ese was neuter, but was misunderstood by the scribe at G.
- [J] "But that country does not exist where these classes, the brāhmaṇas and the śramaṇas, do not exist, except among the Greeks, and there is nowhere in any country where there is not faith of men in one sect or other".
- (1) K $c\bar{a}$ Y Sh M ca "but". See note (1) in [D].
- (2) K yatā Y ata M yatra. Note the western form with initial y- at K; see note (6) and note (1) in [G].
- (3) Note that the plural $nik\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ is followed by singular nouns "these classes: the brāhmaṇa and the śramaṇa". For collective singular nouns cf. atavi (see note (1) in [M] and $yut\bar{a}$: rajuke $p\bar{a}desike$ in RE III[C].
- (4) K baṃhmane Y bābhane M bramaṇe. At K baṃhmane is probably a mistake for $b\bar{a}hmane$, with -am written for $-\bar{a}$. The confusion of -am and $-\bar{a}$ is not uncommon (cf. note (5), note (2) in [W] and note (8) in [X]). It doubtless arises from the writing of an anusvāra so close to the preceding akṣara that it resembles an \bar{a} -mātrā, or from an \bar{a} -mātrā written carelessly so that it does not touch the preceding akṣara and therefore looks like an $anusv\bar{a}ra^{26}$.
- (5) K ānatā Y aṇṇnatā M añatra G añatra. K ānatā < aṇṇnatā shows ā for aṇ, cf. note (4). For yonesu note Sircar's quotation from Pāli²⁷: Yona-Kambojesu ... dve va vaṇṇā: ayyo c' eva dāso ca (M II 149, 4-6).
- (6) K yatā Y ata Sh G yatra. Note the western form with initial yat K; see note (1) and note (1) in [G].

See K. R. NORMAN, "Aśokan inscriptions from Sannati", cit., p. 109.
 D. C. SIRCAR, op. cit., p. 35 note 8.

[K] "Therefore however many people then, when the inhabitants of Kalinga were taken, were killed and died and were transported, one hundredth part, one thousandth part of that is today thought of as serious by His Majesty".

- (1) K se Y M se Sh so "therefore". See note (1) in [D].
- (2) K avatake Y āvatake G yāvatako Sh yamatro. It is not obvious why Sh does not have yavataka, since (bahu)-tavatake occurs at Sh in [B]. Perhaps *yavata was received without -ka, and changed to yamata (with the common change of -v- > -m-) and was interpreted as ya(m)-matra; alternatively, perhaps yamatake was received (with the change of -v- > -m- already made), was assumed to be the equivalent of yam-mātraka and ka was omitted to fit in with matra in [B]²⁸.
- (3) Note K *kalinga* here. Presumably the presence of -m- prevented the change of -g- > -gy-. Contrast [A] [C] and [D].
- (4) K Sh M tato. Y is illegible at this point. For the western form tato at K see note (3) in [B].
- (5) K. ṣatebhāge ṣahaṣabhāge Y satabhāge sahasabhāge Sh satabhage sahasrabhagam M satabhage sahasrabhage G ...srabhāgo "one hundredth part, one thousandth part". The reading sate bhāge at K perhaps arose from the scribe thinking (wrongly) that sata and bhāga were separate words in agreement. The scribe at Sh decided that he should write the ending -am for the second -bhāga, taking it as neuter, but he did not correct the first, which remained as -e. G -bhāgo shows that the scribe there decided that the -bhāge he received in his exemplar was masculine. See note (1) in [I]. Cf. G -mato in note (6).
- (6) K gulumate Y -mate Sh gurumatam M gurumate G garumato. For the masculine ending -o in G -mato, cf. -bhāgo in note (5). Note G garu-, and cf. K galu- in note (2) in [F].

²⁸ See K. R. NORMAN, "Lexical variation in the Aśokan inscriptions", cit., pp. 130-31.

- [L] "Should anyone injure (him), what can be forgiven is indeed thought fit to be forgiven by His Majesty".
- (1) Y e pi aja apahaleya Sh yo pi ca apakareyati. The verb at Sh seems to be an optative with a primary ending; cf. Sh nivateyati in RE IX[J]; cf. K siyāti-ti-M siyati-ti-in-RE X[C].
- (2) Y ... sakiye khamitave Sh yam śako ch'amanaye G ya sak. chamitave. Note that Y and G have infinitives, and Sh has the dative of purpose of an -ana stem noun, which serves as the equivalent of an infinitive. I assume that Sh śako is a mistake, arising from the scribe's belief that it should agree with yo. I think it should be neuter, agreeing with yam. For Sanskrit śakya with an infinitive in a passive sense "able to be forgiven", see MW, s.v. śakya.
- (3) The *na* which precedes *ya* at G is presumably the final syllable of *devānaṃpriyena*, although elsewhere in constructions with *mata* G has agreed with other sites in having a genitive form *priyasa*, see note (1) in [A].

[M] "And the forest dwellers in His Majesty's territory, even on them he has compassion, and wins them over".

- (1) Y Sh M aṭavi G aṭaviyo. Here aṭavi "the forest" is used as a collective singular in the sense of "the forest dwellers". We may assume that the scribe at G realised this, but perhaps objected to the use of such singulars (cf. G paṭivesiyehi where the other versions have -ena in RE XI[D]). He therefore wrote the plural aṭaviyo.
- (2) G pijite for vijite. The writing of p for v would seem to be a mistake.
- (3) G $p\bar{a}ti$ for bhoti (with $-\bar{a}$ as a partial writing of -o; cf. note (1) in [C]). The writing of p for bh would seem to be a mistake.
- [N] "And remorse is said to them by His Majesty to be the cause, in order that they may repent and may not kill".

- (1) Y anutāpe pi ca pabhāve Sh M anutape pi ca prabhave. His Majesty's remorse (for the killings he has committed) is said to be the cause of his compassion towards them.
- (2) Note kiti = kimti "in order that", constructed with the optative. It is constructed with the negative injunctive in $[X]^{29}$.
- (3) Y avatapeyu Sh avatrapeyu "they may be ashamed, may repent"; < Sanskrit apatrap- with the change of -p- > -v-.
- (4) Y hamneyu K ..neyu Sh hamñeyasu. This may be interpreted as "may kill" or "may be killed". In RE I[G] Sh hamñamti is certainly passive³⁰. For the Sh optative ending -eyasu, cf. Sh siyasu in RE XII[J].
- [O] "For His Majesty wishes for all creatures freedom from injury, self restraint, impartiality and gentleness".
- (1) Y achati Sh ach'ati G achatim < Sanskrit akṣati. Note the western development of ks > (c)ch at Y.
- (2) Note that the scribe at G inserted ca ... ca ... ca, doubtless for stylistic reasons, as he did in RE III[C].
- (3) Y sāmacaliyam Sh samacaritam G samacairam. G -caira is presumably the scribe's way of writing -cera, cf. Prakrit bambhacera. For other examples of the writing of the ai-mātrā at G, cf. thaira- in RE IV[C], RE V[L] and RE VIII[E], and traidasa- in RE V[I]. Cf. mai at Brahmagiri, where Hultzsch³¹ suggested reading me. The fact that Sh has sama- shows that the scribe assumed the word was derived from Sanskrit sama-"level, impartial" rather than from śama "peace". For Gāndhāri sama 'irya, also showing s- rather than ś-³².

²⁹ See K. R. NORMAN, "Pāli lexicographical studies IX", in *Journal of the Pali Text Society* 16 (1992), pp. 77-85 (= CP V, pp. 71-79), in part. pp. 77-80.

³⁰ But for the interpretation as an active verb here see C. CAILLAT, "Aśoka et les gens de la brousse", in *Bulletin d'Études Indiennes* 9 (1991), pp. 9-13, and K. R. NORMAN, "An Aśokan miscellany",cit., pp. 462-64.

³¹ E. HULTZSCH, *op. cit.*, pp. 171-80 (= CP I, pp. 170-80), in part.p. 176 note 7. ³² See K. R. NORMAN, "The Gändhäri version of the Dharmapada", in *Buddhist Studies in Honour of I.B. Homer*, Dordrecht, 1974, p. 172.

- (4) Y G mādavam K madava Sh rabhasiye. Y G mādavam (with mād- not mad(d)- < mārdava "gentleness") must be accusative, but Sh rabhasiye is presumably locative "in the event of violence". Cf. Sanskrit rābhasya "velocity, impetuosity" (Dhātup); "delight, joy, pleasure" (see MW, s.v. rābhasya). The Sh scribe possibly thought the word he received in his exemplar was connected with mardana.
- [P] "But this is thought to be the best victory by His Majesty, namely the victory of morality".
- (1) K vu Y cu Sh ca "but". K vu for cu shows the common miswriting of v for c; cf. note (1) in $[V]^{33}$.
- (2) Y mokhyā... Sh mukhamut.. M mukhamute. For the labialisation of -a- to -u- after -m-, see note (4) in $(\overline{E}]$.
- [Q] "But this has been obtained by His Majesty here and at all the boundaries, up to 600 *yojanas* (from here), where the Greek king Antiochus is, and beyond that Antiochus four kings, Ptolemy, Antigonus, Magas and Alexander, constantly, the Colas and the Pāṇḍyas as far as Tambapaṇṇi".
- (1) K punā Sh M puna Y mana "but". The form at Y is < pana. Since pana is an enclitic, p- is treated as intervocalic and changed > ν- and then > m- in proximity to another nasal (-n-).
- (2) Y hida ... bāū ca aṃtesu. If Y bā is the remains of bāhiresu, this suggests that the scribe began to insert something to make a contrast to hida "here". Cf. Y hidā ca bāhilesu ca nagalesu in RE V[M], where G wrote pāṭalipute instead of hida, presumably realising that hida did not refer to Girnār.
- (3) K Sh M a Y ā. Here Y ā may mean "up to", cf. Pāli ā sahassehi pañcahi Ja VI 192,19* (commentary: yāva sahassehi), cf. note (11) and see CPD s.v. ²ā. Alternatively, it may be the relative

³³ See K. R. NORMAN, "Studies in the epigraphy of the Asokan inscriptions", cit., p. 37.

pronoun $y\bar{a}$ referring to $amt\bar{a}$ "boundaries which are at ... ". There is the same uncertainty about G \bar{a} $Tambapamn\bar{n}$ RE II[A]. K Sh M a may be either of these, or may stand for yam "namely"; cf. Sanskrit yad (see MW, s.v. yad).

- (4) K ata Sh yatra, i.e. K retains the eastern form without initial y-.
- (5) Y catā... K catāli (the neuter used for the masculine, with weakening of the final -e > -i [cf. Sh rajani and Sh duvi in RE [G] and RE II[A]) Sh cature (accusative used for nominative) G catpāro (= masculine nominative plural). K and Sh write the numeral 4.
- (6) K lajāne Y lājine Sh rajani. For the weakening of the final vowel, cf. K catāli in note (7). Y lājine shows the writing of an *i-mātrā* in mistake for an ā-mātrā; see note (2) in [B].
- (7) K tulamaye Sh turamaye G turamāyo. For the hyper-form converting an original l > r at G, cf. phara and maṃgara in RE IX at Sopārā³⁴.
- (8) Note G ca... ca... ca where the other sites have $n\bar{a}ma$ three times.
- (9) K Sh M nica(m) Y nitiyam, K nicam must be a western form which was already in the exemplar received there. We might surmise that the words dūtā devānampiyasa yamti have been omitted from the sentence by mistake. There is probably a contrast between where the messengers go permanently, and where they do not go permanently, as specified in [S]³⁵.
- (10) K Sh M coḍa- Y coḍā. Y has split up the dvandva compound into two separate nominative plural forms.
- (11) Y \bar{a} K $\bar{a}vam$ Sh ava M a "as far as"; is Y a M a a mistake for $\bar{a}va$ ($\langle y\bar{a}vat \rangle$) or an example of \bar{a} with the ablative case (see note (3), in which case $\bar{a}va$ may be \bar{a} followed by the particle va.

³⁴ See K. R. Norman, "Some aspects of the phonology of the Prakrit underlying the Aśokan inscriptions", in *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies* 33 (1970), pp. 132-43 (CP I, pp. 93-107), in part. p. 138.

³⁵ See K. R. Norman, "An Aśokan miscellany", cit., p. 459.

[R] "In the same way here in the territory of the king, among the Greeks and Kambojas, the Nabhakas and Nabhapantis, the Bhojas and Pitinikas, the Andhras and Pālandas, everywhere they conform to His Majesty's instruction in morality".

- (1) For G visaya, the other sites have forms with -v-; cf. the variation between -y- and -v- in the 3 plural optative ending, e.g. K vasevu G vaseyu in RE VII[A].
- (2) K -kambojeşu Y -kambocesu Sh -kamboyeşu M G -kambo...; for Sh y for j (usually after a long vowel) see Bloch (p. 53, §11). Note, however, prayuhotave in RE I[B].
- (3) K nābhapaṃtiṣu Sh nabhitina M nabhapaṃtiṣu. Sh nabhitina is probably due to a mistaken copying of -itin- from bhoja-pitinikeṣu.
- (4) K -pāladeṣu Y -pāladesu Sh -palideṣu G -pāriṃdesu. Since pālada, etc., is in compound with a(ṃ)dha, etc., it is probable that both peoples lived in the South of India, and were unknown in the West. If this were so, then the scribes in the West and North-West would have to guess at the correct form of the name in their dialects, and they perhaps saw a connection with Sanskrit pārīndra, as Hultzsch suggested³⁶.
- (5) K Y anuvatamti Sh anuvatamti G anuvatare. The retroflex -t- is probably not an innovation at Sh, but is an eastern form retained from the exemplar (see note (4) in [G]).
- [S] "Even where His Majesty's messengers do not go, they too having heard of His Majesty's practice of morality (and) order (and) instruction in morality, conform to morality and will conform to it".
- (1) K Sh M G yata Y ata. Note the western form with initial y- at K, and see note (1) in [G].
- (2) Note G $d\bar{u}ti$, with -i written for $-\bar{a}$; see note (2) in [B].
- (3) KYM yanti Sh vrachanti.

³⁶ E. HULTZSCH, op. cit., p. 48 note 14.

- (4) K Y sutu Sh M śrutu. The vowel -u- in the stem suggests that we have a weak grade form of the absolutive ending i.e. *śru-tū, rather than an infinitive < śrotum, which would have the guṇa grade vowel -o-. Cf. K vijinitu in note (3) in [D].
- (5) Note K -pinaṃya with -n- written for -y- (for the confusion of na and ya in the Brāhmī script³⁷, and cf. K. -pine in note (3) in [W] and K no in note (6) in [X]). For -y- written for -s- because of the similarity in shape³⁸). For the writing of an unhistoric anusvāra by mistake, cf. K -amti in note (4) in [W].
- (6) K Y dhammavutam Sh dhramavutam M dhramavuta. The presence of -t- at K Y might suggest that vuta is perhaps < Sanskrit ukta, with -t- arising from a wrong backformation at Sh, but this seems unlikely. I assume that it is derived < Sanskrit vrta. See note (4) in [G].
- (7) K dhaṇmānusathi Sh M dhramanuśasti G dhaṇmānusasṭiṃ. See note (5) in [C].
- (8) K anuvidhiyama anuvidhiyisama Y anuvidhiyi.. anuvidhiyisamti Sh M anuvidhiyamti anuvidhiyisamti G anuvidhiyare. K -ama (twice) is a mistake for -amti, and is due to the similarity between initial a and ti in the Brāhmī script³⁹.
- (9) K $c\bar{a}$ ye Y ceva Sh ca yo M ca ye. Y ceva shows that we must take ye and yo as emphatic particles belonging to [S]. The first word of [T] is therefore K Y M se Sh sa. for ye as an emphatic particle⁴⁰.
- [T] "But victory obtained by such (peaceful means) is a victory everywhere; moreover a victory everywhere has a taste of joy".
- (1) K YM se Sh sa "but". See note (1) in [D].
- (2) Sentence [T] ends with $p\bar{\imath}ti$ -raso, and K Y se Sh so G $s\bar{a}$ belong to [U].

 $^{^{\}rm 37}$ See K. R. Norman, "Studies in the epigraphy of the Asokan inscriptions", cit., p. 37.

³⁸ *Ibid*, p. 38

³⁹ Ibid.

⁴⁰ See K. R. NORMAN, "Notes on the Asokan Rock Edicts", cit., p. 162.

- [U] "That joy has been obtained (by me) in the morality victory".
- (1) The first word of the sentence is K Y se Sh so G s \bar{a} "but, now" (see note (1) in [D]). G s \bar{a} is a partial writing for (the incorrect) so, see note (1) in [C].
- (2) K gadhā Y G ladhā Sh ladha, if K gadhā is authentic, it is < *grabdha < *grabh-ta. It may be by analogy with laddha, because of the similarity of the meaning of the roots labh and gabh. If it is a mistake, it is perhaps due to a strange form of la, cf. the V-shape of la in Minor RE I at Bahapur⁴¹, which was mistaken for an inverted ga⁴².
- [V] "But that joy is indeed small".
- (1) K vu Y cu Sh tu "but". For K vu as a mistake for cu, see note (1) in [P].
- [W] "His Majesty thinks that only that which concerns the next world has great fruit".
- (1) K pālaṃtikyam eve Y palatikamm eva Sh M paratrikam eva, cf. Sanskrit pāratrika "belonging to the next world". For the insertion of m at K, cf. K pālaṃtikyāye in RE X[C]). For the nasalisation of -am > -aṃm at Y, cf. taṇm in note (10) in [X]⁴³.
- (2) Note K -phal \bar{a} for -phala \bar{n} , showing the writing of - \bar{a} for -a \bar{n} . See note (4) in [J].

⁴¹ See M. C. Joshi, B. M. Pande, "A newly discovered inscription of Aśoka at Bahapur", in JRAS, 1967, pp. 96-98, in part. p. 97; K. R. Norman, "Notes on the Bahapur version of Aśoka's Minor Rock Edict", *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, 1971, pp. 41-43 (= CP I, pp. 108-12), in part. pp. 41-42.

⁴² See K. R. NORMAN, "Lexical variation in the Asokan inscriptions", cit., p. 135.

⁴³ See K. R. NORMAN, "The nasalisation of vowels in Middle Indo-Aryan", in *Philosophy, Grammar and Indology (Essays in Honour of Professor Gustav Roth)*, Delhi, 1992, pp. 331-38, in part. p. 331.

- (3) Note K -pine for -piye (for the confusion of the akṣaras na and ya in the Brāhmī script; see note (5) in [S]).
- (4) K maṇnaṃti Y maṇnati Sh meñati M maṇati. For the palatalisation of -a- > -e- before ña in Sh meñati, see Brough⁴⁴, § 22a [p. 81], and contrast mañati in [X]. The anusvāra in the ending -aṇti at K seems clear on the plate⁴⁵, but it must be a mistake. Cf. note (5) in [S].

[X] "For this purpose this rescript on morality has been written, in order that my sons and grandsons should not think that another new victory is to be won. Let them approve of mildness and light punishment in (the event of) their own victory. Let them think that that alone is a victory which is a morality victory".

- (1) K Y Sh M kiti = kimti "in order that". It is constructed here with a negative injunctive; cf. the construction with an optative in [N].
- (2) K Sh M asu Y amnam. Alsdorf⁴⁶ reads amnam in all versions. I earlier suggested⁴⁷ that me asu stands for m'e= me ye asu "(sons) who may be (born) to me", but I now withdraw that suggestion.
- (3) Y vijetaviyam G vijetavyam K vijay(i)taviya Sh vijetavia M ...taviyam. Note that Sh omits -y- in the suffix; cf. note (1) in [A].
- (4) Y maṇnisu K maniṣu Sh mañiṣu M maṇiṣu G maṇñāsara. Hultzsch⁴⁸ regarded G maṇṇñā as a subjunctive, followed by sara, but maṇṇñāsara is a mistake for maṇṇñisara (with -ā as a partial writing of -i; see note (2) in [B]). It is the aorist 3 plural middle in a negative injunctive construction, where the other

⁴⁵ E. HULTZSCH, op. cit., facing p. 50.

⁴⁸ E. HULTZSCH, op. cit., p. lxvii.

⁴⁴ J. Brough, *The Gandharī Dharmapada*, London, 1962.

⁴⁶ L. ALSDORF, "Der Schluss von Aśokas Dreizehntem Felsedikt", in *Mélanges d'Indianisme à la mémoire de Louis Renou*. Publications de l'Institut de Civilisation Indienne, Paris, 1968, p. pp. 23-33, in part. 26.

⁴⁷ K. R. NORMAN, "Notes on the Asokan Rock Edicts", cit., p. 166.

- sites have active verbs. For the secondary suffix -ara at G, cf. the primary suffix -are, the optative suffix -era, and the imperative suffix -aram.
- (5) K ṣayakaṣi Y sayakasi Sh spakaspi M saya G sake. The original version must have had sayaka- < svaya + ka. Sh and G changed it to saka < svaka, possibly because svayaka is not attested in Sanskrit. For saya note hitvā sayam at Ja VI 414, 27* (commentary: sayan ti sakaraṭṭhaṃ). M saya may be < saka (with the change of -k->-y-) or it may show the omission of -ka from sayaka. The former explanation is more likely, given the lack of evidence for the change -k->-y- at M.
- (6) K no Sh yo Y yeva G eva, these are all emphatic particles. K no is perhaps a mistake for yo (no as an emphatic particle occurs only here in Aśoka, but it is found in Pāli). For the writing of na for ya see note (5) in [S]. For yo as an emphatic particle, cf. note (10)⁴⁹.
- (7) K khaṃti Y khaṃtī Sh ch'aṃti G chāti. These are accusative forms, the object of locayaṃtu. Cf. note (8).
- (8) K lahudamḍatā Y lahudamḍatam Sh lahudamḍata. These are accusative forms, the object of locayamtu, with K -ā for -am, cf. note (4) in [J].
- (9) K *locetu* Y *locayaṃtu* Sh *rocetu*. The verb (< Sanskrit *rocayati*) is plural, with the anusvāra not written in the ending at K Sh, and the subject is *putā papotā*; cf. note (11). Here Sh writes the appropriate western r in *roc*-. In RE IV[J] and RE XIV[E], however, Sh retains eastern l from the exemplar.
- (10) K tam eva cā Y tamm eva ca Sh tam ca yo. Sh yo must be the emphatic particle (see note (6)), with the word order changed. Y tamm shows the nasalisation of -am > -amm, cf. Y palatikamm in note (1) in [W]).
- (11) K manatu Y maṇṇṇaṇtu Sh mañatu. The verb is plural, with the anusvāra not written in the ending at K Sh, and the subject is

⁴⁹ See also K. R. NORMAN, "Notes on the Aśokan Rock Edicts", pp. 161-63

- putā papotā, cf. note (9). Note that Sh has mañati here, not meñati as in [W].
- (12) K ye Y e Sh yo. For the western y- in the relative pronoun at K, see note (1) in [G].
- [Y] "That concerns this world and the next world".
- (1) Y reads se hi hida-. K and Sh do not have hi, which is perhaps copied in error from [AA].
- (2) Sh M G have case endings on hidalokika, and G inserts ca to make it clear that there are two separate words. Y reads hidalokika, i.e. a compound, which explains the lack of ca; K hidalokikya agrees with this. Cf. note (1) in [AA], where G divides the compound, adds a case ending to ilokikā and inserts ca... ca. M -loke shows the omission of -ik- by the scribe.
- (3) K writes *palalokiye* not *-lokikye*, i.e. the scribe writes *-y-* instead of *-ky-*, presumably omitting the cross-stroke of *-k-*. There is no need to assume the omission of *-ik-* as Bloch does⁵⁰.
- [Z] "And let all joy be joy in morality".
- (1) K ṣavā Y sāva Sh M sava. It would appear that the scribe at Y wrote sāva in error for savā.
- (2) K ca kani Y ca kāni Sh ca ti M ca kani. We have here ca kāni (see RE IV[F]), although ka has been omitted at Sh. It is not necessary to follow Hultzsch and Bloch⁵¹ in reading kam at K. Sh ti for ni is a mistake based upon an exemplar written in Brāhmī script, where ta and na can be confused⁵². Hultzsch's translation "pleasure in the abandonment"⁵³ is based upon the belief that Sh cati is the correct reading. The division of the

⁵⁰ J. Bloch, *op. cit.*, p. 132.

⁵¹ E. HULTZSCH, *op. cit.*, p. 46, note 23; J. BLOCH, *op. cit.*, p. 132.

⁵² See K. R. NORMAN, "Studies in the epigraphy of the Asokan inscriptions", cit., p. 38.

⁵³ E. HULTZSCH, *op. cit.*, p. 70.

- akṣaras by modern editors has masked the fact that we are dealing here with *rati*.
- (3) K hotu uyāmalati arises from the omission of the akṣara dhaṃ. The correct reading hotu yā <dhaṃ>ma-lati was "corrected" into hotu ayāma-lati, by a scribe who assumed that hotuyā stood for hotūyā < hotu uyā 54 .

[AA] "For that concerns this world and the next world".

(1) K Y Sh hidalokika- M ialokika- G ilokikā. The scribe at G split up the compound, added a case ending to ilokikā and inserted ca ... ca, cf. note (2) in [Y]. For the initial i- of ilokika, cf. G iloka in RE XI[E] and Sanskrit i-tara, i-tas, etc. For M i-a, cf. Sanskrit kva < *ku-a. It might alternatively be regarded as the omission of h from iha, because of its weak articulation (cf. note (2) in [C]). Note that K reads hidalokika not -lokikya, i.e. the scribe wrote the -k- he received in his exemplar, and did not change it to -ky-. Cf. note (6) in [G].

Conclusions

The information which we can deduce from this edict falls into two parts: philological and socio-political.

(1) From the philological point of view, we can see that, although the Y version probably retains more of the original eastern features than any other, it nevertheless includes some western features, and K has more. Conversely, the north-western sites sometimes have eastern features. Some of these anomalous features were probably found in the exemplars and left untranslated, but others seem to have been introduced indiscriminately, either by the members of the secretariat who were responsible for the various

⁵⁴ See K. R. NORMAN, "Lexical variation in the Asokan inscriptions", cit., p. 133.

exemplars, or by the local scribes. The former suggestion would seem more likely, and we may assume that members of the secretariat carelessly let features of their own dialects intrude into the exemplars they were preparing for dispatch to the various sites.

(2) From the socio-political point of view, we see that in this edict Aśoka explains how, after the victory in Kalinga, with all the death and suffering this involved, he had a great desire for morality (dhamma) [C]. It pained Asoka that those who did conform with his morality, including brāhmanas and śramanas [J], suffered nevertheless [G]. His hope for the forest dwellers is that they too (like him) may repent (of past killing) and not kill (in the future) [M-N]. What he now wished for was a morality victory, and this consisted of security for all creatures, self-restraint, impartiality and gentleness [O]. Messengers (dūtas) were sent to preach this everywhere, including the Greek kingdoms to the West [O]. His conquest by morality was promulgated in the hope that his successors would not think of another (military) victory, by force of arms which would entail slaughter. In any victory they gained there should be mildness (khamti) and light punishment (lahudandatā) [X], unlike his own victory, with its terrible consequences, in Kalinga.

It seems to me quite certain, therefore, that the messengers who were sent to the Greek kings were not charged with the propagation of Buddhism, as some scholars have proposed. It would seem clear that they were sent in an attempt to persuade the rulers, probably despotic rulers, of the neighbouring states that they too should give up their desire for conquest (perhaps – we may suspect – of parts of Aśoka's territory) by war, and should try to institute the reign of security, self-restraint, impartiality and gentleness, based upon the principles of Aśoka's dhamma. In these circumstances, to talk, as some do, about the Aśokan missionary expansion of Buddhism among the Greeks, seems to me to be a mistake. Certainly we have no evidence from the Greek side which indicates that any Buddhist missionaries had arrived among them c. 250 B.C.E.

Modern scholars who have heard that Aśoka recommended certain *suttas*, i.e. portions of the Buddhist *dhamma*, and know that Aśoka set up *dhamma*-writings and sent out messengers, have put the two pieces of information together, and have assumed that Aśoka set up, i.e. popularised, Buddhist teachings and sent out Buddhist missionaries, although the Pāli texts (e.g. Mhv XII. 1-8) clearly state that they were sent out by Moggaliputta.