T.R. SHARMA

THE CONCEPT OF GOTRA IN THE UTTARATANTRA OF MAITREYA

The pursuit of philosophy is considered to be useful in moulding our way of life or view of life. It is considered useful for searching the underlaying principle guiding our way of life. The purpose of the Buddhist philosophical thought is in general the social uplift of the masses at large. Here also the *Mahāyāna* Buddhism aims at public good rather than private good. Considered from this point of view, this branch of Buddhist religion may be treated from two different angles viz., a spiritual discipline and cultural activity. These two, when combined together serve a very useful purpose as far as the general aspirations of the masses are concerned for their social uplift. This type of the cultural activity is primarily aimed at raising the masses to a higher level of consciouness – meaning thereby that even lay man (*pṛthagjana*) may also attain the position of *Bodhisattva*.

The *Uttaratantra* of Maitreya fulfils these aspirations. It is a *Mahāyāna* text with Mādhyamika bearings in general. This text was uptil now little known to the scholarly world but this has recently been edited by H.S. Prasad under the title *The Uttaratantra of Maitreya* — which contains a comprehensive introduction, E.M. Johnston's Sanskrit text and E. Obermiller's English translation. The *Uttaratantra* studies the concept of *gotra* in depth, suggests the way of removal of the defiling elements and proposes a new interpretation of the concepts of *Tathāgatagarbha* and *Śūnyatā*. The text is divided

into five chapters and carries a detailed commentary by Ācaryāsanga. The aim of the present paper is to examine *gotra* as a substantive basis of consciousness of the sentient beings, the defiling elements and the *Tathāgatagarbha* concepts. The entire paper is solely based on the commentary of Ācaryāsanga. In the course of our study an attempt will be made to search for a new interpretation of the concepts of the *Tathāgatagarbha* and Śūnyatā in the light of the *Uttaratantra*.

The transition of Buddhist philosophical thought from Hīnayāna to Mahāyāna is basically a major breakthrough in the sense that the former was more concerned with the welfare of certain individuals only whereas the latter desired the welfare of all. The Yogācāra and the Mādhyamika are the two principal schools of Mahāyāna Buddhism. The Yogācāra school of philosophy while denying the reality of the external objects accepts consciousness as the sole reality. The Mādhyamika school believes in the reflective awareness of things and teaches sarvadṛṣṭiśūnyatā as an absolute mode of Reality. Both these schools i.e., Yogācāra and the Mādhyamika believe in the gotra (lineage) theory. The Yogācāra treats gotra as something different from the concept of ālayavijñāna (store house consciousness) whereas the Mādhyamika philosophy treats it as par with essence (tathatā, dharmatā) of the Ultimate Reality, uniform (ekarasa, samarasa), eternal (nitya), immutable (asamskrta), the Absolute (dharmadhātu), the metaphysical principle of Absolute (svabhavakāya, dharmakāya) of spiritual nature (cittasvabhāva) and total essence of all the dharmas. It is very important to note here that the concept of gotra theory is of immense significance in the development of both these important schools of Mahāyāna, since the gotra is the locus of all appearances or thought constructions. It may be interpreted as a substratum (āśraya or ālambana) for all the sentient beings of the world and all the phenomenal objects of the empirical world.

Though there are frequent references to the *gotra* theory scattered in various philosophical works of Buddhism, the *Uttaratantra* deals with the *gotra* concept in a very systematic way. This is attributed to Maitreya; its other names are *Ratnagotravibhāga Mahāyānottaratantraśāstra* or simply *Ratnagotravibhāga*. The whole of the text is divided into five chapters (*paricchedas*). The first chapter i.e., *Tathāgata*-

garbhādhikāra is very important because it has an exhaustive com-

mentary by Asanga.

The Uttaratantra1 is a text with Mādhyamika leanings. The main purpose of the Uttaratantra is to explain the concept of gotra, which is variously translated as family, clan, spiritual lineage, germ, seed, class, category, mine and matrix. As it has already been pointed out above, this concept is interpreted as a basic substratum (aśraya) of all the sentient beings. According to this text, it is quite possible that all the sentient beings can attain the position of a Bodhisattva. The concept of gotra is equal to tathāgatagarbha, dharmadhātu, dharmakāya, dharmatā, niḥsvabhāva and śūnyatā. In the Yogācāra Vijñānavāda this concept is different from the concept of ālayavijñāna or sadāyatana. But if we look at the earlier schools of Buddhist philosophy, we find that there is a constant development in the name of $pr\bar{a}pti$ theory (sarvāstivādins) and the $b\bar{\imath}ja$ theory and $\bar{a}layavij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ are different theories. They are the substratum (āśraya) of all phenomenal objects including the sentient beings, on which all the different modes of appearances, characteristic and the like may be superimposed. According to Obermiller2, the concept of ālayavijñāna was replaced by the idea of gotra. Among the numerous forms of gotra the two are the most important ones, viz., Prakrtisthagotra and Samudānitagotra, (or paripusta or abhisamskrta). When the Samudānītagotra is removed from all its defiling elements, it becomes prakrtistha gotra, i.e., it attains a higher level of consciousness.

Coming to gotra itself, the Uttaratantra right in the beginning starts with a category of the people, who may not be desirous of Nirvāṇa, who might have given up all aspiration for freedom, but still they might be desirous of the phenomenal world (samsāra)3. Apart from this category, the Uttaratantra also talks of four types of people,

2. E. OBERMILLER, The Sublime Science of the Great Vehicle to Salvation Being a Manual of Buddhist Monism, Ibid., Ed. H.S. Prasad. p. 225.

^{1.} H.S. Prasad, The Uttaratantra of Maitreya, Bibliotheca Indo-Buddhica, No. 79, Sri Satguru Publications, Delhi, 1991, p. 2.

⁽Cf. «The conception of a store-consciousness containing the seeds of all the elements was replaced by him by the idea of Essence of buddhahood, the fundamental element of Absolute as existing in every living being»).

^{3.} Cf. Asanga on Uttaratantra 1.33, Ibid., p. 96.

who are incapable of intuiting and realizing the germ of Buddhahood, They are (i) the worldlings endowed with great desires (icchantika), (ii) the heretics (tīrthyas), (iii) the śrāvakas and (iv) pratyekabuddhas. The Uttaratantra also elaborately discusses the four different types of defilements which are associated with the above mentioned category of people. In the four types of people there exists four kinds of impediments to the attainment of the Buddha's cosmic bodies (dharmakāya). These impediments are known as (i) pratyayalaksana, (ii) hetulaksana, (iii) sambhavalakṣaṇa and (iv) vibhavalakṣaṇa4. When all these four different types of defilements of the four types of above mentioned people are removed, they are able to achieve the Śubhapāramitā, the Ātmapāramitā, the Sukhapāramitā and the Nityapāramitā. As it has been already observed earlier, the main purpose of the Uttaratantra is to raise the ordinary people's consciousness to a higher degree of consciousness, by which they may obtain the knowledge of the Tathāgata. The Uttaratantra in its epistemological approach tries to enumerate all such obstructions by which the ordinary people cannot obtain the Germ of Buddhahood (Tathāgatagarbha).

Asanga in his commentary on *Uttaratantra* raises a number of issues, which are directly or indirectly related to the defiling elements. These are big hurdles in obtaining the higher degree of consciousness. Asanga, while talking of a number of defiling elements, first of all raises a basic issue of our obsession for the name and form of the phenomenon and that is the root cause of all our miseries⁵. Another source of moral defilement is the false doctrine and doubt which are preceded by the views maintaining the existence of a real individuality⁶. Asanga tries to point to out to our habit of maintaining a particular

mokṣamārgapratihatāśā aparinirvāṇagotrakāḥ sattvā ye saṃsāram evecchanti na nirvāṇaṃ tan niyatipatitāś cehādhārmikā eva.

^{4.} Cf. Asanga on Uttaratantra 1.36, p. 100.

āsām punas ca catasṛṇām tathāgatadharmakāya... bodhisattvānām ime catvāraḥ paripanthā bhavanti. tad yathā pratyalakṣaṇam hetulakṣaṇam sambhavalakṣaṇam vibhivalakṣaṇam iti.

^{5.} Cf. Asanga on Uttaratantra 1.8., Ibid., p. 76.

tatra duḥkhamūlam samāsto yā kācid bhaveşu nāmarūpābhir nirvṛttiḥ.

^{6.} *Ibid.*, p. 76.

kleśamūlam yā kācit satkāyābhiniveśapūrvikā dṛṣtivicikitsā ca.

view point, which turns out to be a wrong view point, when it is subjected to all sorts of critical questions. This does not stop here but it constantly grows in us (like a sprout) as a part and parcel of our character. It is only the power of the sword of the Buddha's Wisdom and Commiseration which can cut down this sprout7. Another important defilement in our way to raise our level of consciousness to a higher degree can be understood by our excessive attachment or obsession for any nomenclature. Owing to excessive attachment towards the nomenclature, the worldly people ($b\bar{a}la$), do not obtain the knowledge of Tathāgata, do not experience Tathāgata, do not directly see Tathāgata8. Then realizing the feeble character of these worldly people, the lord Buddha, through his divine knowledge, free from every kind of attachment, perceives the Absolute Essence, as it has its abode in all living beings, and becomes possessed of thoughts peculiar to the spiritual teacher. Then he thinks of coming to their rescue knowing fully well that they do not rightly possess the knowledge of the Tathagata. Then by giving them the noble path he removes all the fetters of being excessively attached to the nomenclatures so that these people $(b\bar{a}la)$ may rightly possess the knowledge of Tathāgata. All these worldly people through the acquisition of the noble path (ārya-mārga) can remove all the bonds caused by the false conceptions9. Asanga discusses the situation in which all the bonds caused by the false conceptions have been removed, this situation

^{7.} Ibid. pp. 76-77.

nāmarūpasamgrahitam duḥkham abhinirvṛttilakṣaṇatvād aṅkurasthāniyam veditavyam. tac chettrtve tathāgatajñānakaruņayoh śaktir asidṛṣṭāntenopamitā veditavyā.

^{8.} Ibid., pp. 91-92.

atha ca punaḥ saṃjñāgrāhavinibaddhā bālā na jānanti na prajānanti nānubhvanti na sākṣāt kurvanti tathāgatajñānam.

Cf. also Candrakirti on Madhyamakaśāstra 1.3 (Prasannapadā), Ed. Dwarkadas Shastri, Varanasi, 1983, p. 18.

yo hi samjñāyām samjñam parijānāti samjñābandhanam evāsya tad bhavati.

^{9.} Ibid., p. 92.

yan nv aham eşām sattvānām āryena mārgopadesena sarvasamjñākṛtabandhanāpanayanam kuryām yathā svayam evāryamārgabalādhanena mahatim samjīnāgranthim vinirvartya tathāgatajñānam pratyabhi jānīran, te tathāgatamārgopadešena sarvasamjñākṛtabandhanāni vyapanayanti.

becomes of help to all living beings¹⁰. When all the bonds caused by the false conceptions have been removed, even the knowledge of *Tathāgata* becomes redundant (*apramāṇam*) – this is a stage in which all the sentient beings transcend both the *Sanjñā* and *Sanjñin*. It may be pointed out that when one acquires the knowledge of *Tathāgata*, the knowledge of *Tathāgata* is not perceived as a separate entity, on the contrary, when one has got rid of this conception, the Divine Spirit of the Omniscient appears without hindrance to one's own transcendental introspection¹¹.

Uptil now we have talked about a number of defilements which are hindrances in the way of realizing the Absolute. According to the Uttaratantra even the Śūnyatādṛṣṭi is also a great hindrance in the realization of the Absolute. While talking of those, who have an inclination towards śūnyatā, the Uttaratantra enumerates four types of people who are unable to directly see the Tathagata. It may be observed that the Uttaratantra, while elaborately dealing with the concept of gotra, presents a new interpretation of the Tathagata theory. We have already said that this Tathāgatagarbha is the substratum of the whole of the phenomena including the sentient beings. It is the foundation (niśraya, ādhāra, pratiṣṭhā), the support and the substratum of the immutable elements. It is also the foundation, support and substratum of the elements that are produced by causes and conditions, which are disunited (in their plurality) and are differentiated and separated from each other¹². The Uttaratantra in its new interpretation of the Tathāgatagarbha theory associates it with these new concepts i.e., (i) eternal (nitya), (ii) bliss (śubha) (iii) unity (ātman) and (iv) purity

^{10.} Ibid., p. 92.

apanītesu ca sarvasamjīnākrtabandhanesu tat tathāgatajnānam apramānam bhavati sarvajagad upajīvyam iti.

^{11.} Ibid., p. 90.

api tu samjñāgrāhatah tathāgatajñānam na prajñāyate. samjñāgrāhavigamāt punah sarvajñājñānam svayambhūjñānam asamgatah prabhavati.

^{12.} *Ibid.*, p. 141.

tasmād bhagvams tathāgatagarbho niśraya ādhāraḥ pratiṣṭhā saṃbaddhanām avinirbhāgānām amukta jñānānām asaṃskṛtānāṃ dharmāṇam. asaṃbaddhānām api bhagavan vinirbhāgadharmāṇāṃ muktajñānānāṃ saṃskṛtānāṃ dharmāṇāṃ niśraya ādhāraḥ pratiṣṭhā tathāgatagarbha iti.

. .

(sukha). As already pointed out, there are four different types of people who are unable to realize the Absolute (Tathāgatagarbha) because all of them are hampered by some error or the other. The Uttaratantra describes these four types of people as: (i) ordinary worldly beings (prthagjana), (ii) the Śrāvakas (iii) the Pratyekabuddhas and (iv) the bodhisattvas, who have recently entered the vehicle. The Essence of Buddha (Tathāgatagarha) is not accessible to those who have fallen into the error of maintaining the existence of real individuals, to those whose mind deviates from the principle of nothingness $(S\bar{u}nyat\bar{a})^{13}$. The fault of the ordinary worldly beings is that they have fallen into the error of maintaining the existence of real individuals. Next to these, even the Śrāvakas and the Pratyekabuddhas have also fallen a prey to a misconception. In a nutshell, what the Uttaratantra wants to convey in this discussion is like this: the Śrāvakas and the Pratyekabuddhas misunderstand the Tathāgatagarbha as non-eternal (anitya), non-bliss (dukha), non-ātman, (anātman) and non-auspicious (asubha). In their misunderstanding of the concept of Tathāgatagarbha they are endowed with an error (cf. viparyāsa, change), viparyaya (contrariety) whereas as a matter of fact, according to the Uttaratantra Tathāgatagarbha should be rightly understood as eternal (nitya), bliss (sukha) ātman (ātman), and auspicious (śubha). It may be pointed out that in this whole discussion the term Tathāgatagarbha is accompanied by the above said four adjectives, i.e., nitya, sukha, ātman and śubha. The Uttaratantra further observes in this connection that the Essence, what is characterized as the Absolute Eternity, Bliss, Unity and Purity is not accessible to any of the Śrāvakas and the Pratyekabuddhas, since they find pleasure in venturing on the path that is not favourable to the attainment of the Cosmic Body¹⁴.

In the present context, first of all, it must be observed that in the *Uttaratantra* the concept of *Tathāgatagarbha* has been associated

^{13.} *Ibid.*, p. 142. agocaro 'yam bhagavams tathāgatagarbhaḥ satkāyadṛṣṭipatitānām viparyasābhiratānām śūnyatāvikṣiptacittānām iti.

^{14.} Ibid., p. 142.

with the positive aspect of life i.e., eternal (nitya), bliss (sukha), ātman (ātman) and auspicious (śubha). Seemingly it appears that it is a radical departure from the earlier notions of the term Tathāgatagarbha, though the scholars like Takasaki¹⁵ maintain that the spiritual discipline based on the Tathāgatagarbha, there is an entirely new and later development of Mahāyāna Buddhism. Seen from this point of view of the Śūnyatā of the Mādhyamikas it appears that the whole exercise on their part of the dialectics in the form of a reflective awareness leads a person to a stumbling block — a point from which there is no return and which in itself is an and. A study of the Mādhyamika literature reveals that a sort of negative attitude towards life is contained in its philosophical ideas. That type of the negative attitude has relegated the religious and ethical ideas to a secondary position. Moreover, this type of attitude in no way helps to develop a view of life or a world view.

As far as the meaning and scope $S\bar{u}nyat\bar{a}$ is concerned there is a lot of literature in the $M\bar{a}dhyamika$ philosophy. Here we are going to examine, as to what are the views of the Uttaratantra with regard to $S\bar{u}nyat\bar{a}$. The Uttaratantra maintains that there is no difference between those who believe in the substantiality of the individuality (pudgaladrstayah) and those who are heretics 16. According to Uttaratantra, there are certain sentient beings, who take pride in bolding $S\bar{u}nyat\bar{a}$ as view point (drsti). They think it to be an absolute principle. According to these even the medium of salvation is also

tatra viparyāsābhiratā ucyante śrāvakapratyekabuddhāḥ. kasmāt. te'pi hi nitye tathāgatagarbhe saty uttaribhāvayitavye tat nityasamjñābhāvanāviparyeṇānityasamjñābhāvanābhiratāḥ. sukhe tathāgatagarbhe saty uttaribhāvayitavye tat sukhasamjñābhāvanāviparyeṇa duḥkhasamjñābhāvanābhiratāh. ātmani tathāgatagarbhe saty uttaribhāvayitavye tad ātmasamjñābhāvanāviparyeṇānātmasamjñābhāvanābhi ratāḥ. śubhe tathāgatagarbhe saty uttaribhāvayitavye tat śubhasamjñābhāvanāviparyeṇāśubha samjñābhāvanābhiratāḥ. evam anena paryāyeṇa sarvaśrāvakapratyekabuddhānām api dharmakāyaprāptividhuramārgabhiratvād agocaraḥ sa paramanityasukhātmaśubhalakṣaṇo dhātur ity uktam.

^{15.} TAKASAKI, JIKIDO, A Sudy on the Ratnagotravibhāga (Uttaratantra). Being a Treatise on the Tathagatagarbha Theory of Mahāyāna Buddhism, Rome, 1966, pp. 32-33 (quoted by Prasad, H.S. Ibid., p. 6).

^{16.} Asanga on Uttaratantra 1.33. Ibid., p. 96.

regarded as $\hat{S}\bar{u}nyat\bar{a}$. Here Asanga maintains that the substantiality of the individuality is a great blunder as great as the Sumeru mountain. However, those, who proudly cling to the conception of the Śūnyatā as a absolute principle are committing a greater error¹⁷. The Uttaratantra also observes that these are certain Bodhisattvas, who have recently entered the vehicle, their mind is deviating from the Śūnyatā¹⁸. Such people are deprived of the essence of Buddha in respect to Universal Relativity. It must be noted that the concept of Śūnyatā has been grossly misunderstood right from the earliest days of Buddhism because it is said that there are some, according to whom, Śūnyatā is to be recognized and contemplated as a separate reality, differing from matter and other elements¹⁹.

We find that the Uttaratantra right from the beginning has been talking about the concept of gotra, the Tathagatagarbha theory and Śūnyatā. Here a question arises: what is the relation between them? From the study of the Uttaratantra it appears that they are two sides of the same coin. We have seen earlier in this paper that when all the defilements are removed; one reaches the stage of a Bodhisattva. This shows that when this stage arrives, the level of consciousness, i.e. the gotra reaches the higher degree of consciousness of a Bodhisattva. As far as the relation between Tathāgatagarbha and Śūnyatā is concerned, the Uttaratantra observes that the knowledge Tathāgatagarbha is the same as the knowledge of $S\bar{u}nyat\bar{a}^{20}$.

We have studied the concept of gotra, defilements and the

^{17.} Ibid., p. 96.

śūnyatādṛṣṭayaś cābhimānikā yeṣām iha tad vimokṣamukhe'pi śūnyatāyām mādyamānām sūnyatā eva drstir bhavati yān adhikrtyāha. varam khalu kāsyapa sumerumātrā pudgaladrstir na tu evābhimānikasya śūnyatādrstir iti.

Cf. also Lankāvatārasūtra, Anityatāparivarta for a similar observation.

varam khalu sumerumātrāpudgaladrstir na tu eva nāsti astitvābhimānikasya śūnyatādṛṣṭiḥ. Ed. Vaidya, P.L. Darbhanga, 1963, p. 59.

^{18.} Ibid., p. 143.

tatra sūnyatāviksiptacittā ucayante navayānasamprasthitābodhisattvās tathāgatagarbhaśūnyatārthanayavipranastāh.

^{19.} Ibid., p. 143.

ye vā punah śūnyatopalambhena śūnyatāyām pratisaranti śūnyatā nāma rūpādivyatirekena kaś cid bhāvo'sti.

^{20.} Ibid., p. 144.

tathāgatagarbhajñānam eva tathāgatānām śūnyatājñānam.

Tathāgatagarbha theory as they are expounded in the Uttaratantra. From the study of all these three, it is quite apparent that we may conclude that the gotra is the basis or the substratum of the whole of the phenomenal world including the sentient beings. As far as the sentient beings are concerned, the gotra can be said to be their substantive basis of consciousness, with which all of them are endowed. By their constant efforts in the removal of various types of the defilements, all the sentient beings are able to achieve the highest goal of life, i.e. the state of Bodhisattva. This is a higher type of consciousness which is achieved by them. The Uttaratantra also observes that the knowledge of $Tath\bar{a}gatagarbha$ and the knowledge of $S\bar{u}nyat\bar{a}$ is the same. This makes it very clear that Tathāgatagarbha and Śūnyatā are treated as equal in the Uttaratantra. Herein comes the greatest contribution made by this text where the concept of Tathagatagarbha has been associated with positive aspects of life i.e. eternity (nitya), bliss (sukha), atman (atman) and auspicious (Śubha)21. As a matter of fact, the earlier discussion on the concept of $\hat{Sunyata}$ had lead the scholastic world of today nowhere. The debate has been going on for the last so many years without finding out any positive aspect connected with it in any manner, which may be either useful for our spiritual discipline of for our cultural activity. The Uttaratantra rightly observes in this connection that all the sentient beings are unknowingly engrossed in this misconception (viparyāsa, viparyaya)22. This is supported even by the Buddha when he says that all the worldly people are quite ignorant or unaware of the positive sides of these concepts. These have normally been accepted as such on their face value uptil now in the scholarly field of Buddhism; the real position is just the opposite, when they are interpreted in the light of Uttaratantra for the positive

^{21.} Cf. Asanga on *Uttaratantra* 1.153., p. 142.

nitye tath \bar{a} gatagarbhe...sukhe tath \bar{a} gatagarbhe... \bar{a} tmani tath \bar{a} gatagarbhe ... \bar{a} tubhe tath \bar{a} gatagarbhe.

^{22.} An early reference in the *Uttaratantra* itself where Buddha having perceived the fundamental elements of the living beings obscured by the defilements inspires them. Cf. Asanga on *Uttaratantra* 1.2. *Ibid.*, p. 74.

evam eva kulaputra tathāgato'py apariśudham sattvadhātum viditvānityaduḥ-khānatmā aśubhodvegakathayā saṃsārābhiratān sattvān udvejayati.

sides of life, which have been enumerated above. It is a matter of great satisfaction that the hitherto grossly misunderstood concept of $\check{S}\bar{u}nyat\bar{a}$, can also be interpreted in the like manner because the Uttaratantra treats both Tathāgatagarbha and Śūnyatā as equals. Thus the Mādhyamika interpretation of Śūnyatā of the negative attitude and its subsequent position of not leading to anywhere must be given a new orientation in the light of the fresh material supplied in the Uttaratantra. Moreover, there is a very interesting observation made by Asanga in his commentary with relation to the Biksus, who might not have been able to fully grasp the true spirit of the early Buddhism because they were admonished for making a mess of everything by repeatedly saying that everything is non-eternal, painful, non-ego and inauspicious23. They were asked to rightly understand the true spirit of the early Buddhism by giving up the misconception or error (viparyāsa). They were asked to interpret these often quoted concepts like non-eternal (anitya), misery (duhkha), anātman (anātman) and non-auspicious (aśubha), as eternal (nitya) bliss (sukha), ātman (ātman) and auspicious (śubha) respectively in accordance with the Sūtra giving up the misconception24. This in itself is sufficient evidence to prove that some of these major concepts of early Buddhism were misunderstood even by the Biksus. It may be finally concluded that thus the Uttaratantra has made a very significant contribution to knowledge by providing fresh material on these important concepts of early Buddhism.

^{23.} Cf. Asanga on Uttaratantra, 1.153. p. 143.

evam eva bikṣavo yuṣmābhiḥ sarvam anityam sarvam duḥkham sarvam anātmakam sarvam asubham iti sarvagrahaņena bhāvitabhāvitam bahulīkṛtabahulīkṛtam dharmatattvam ajanadbhis tat sarvam ghatitam nirarthakam.

^{24.} Ibid., p. 143.

yad yad bikşavo yuşmābhih sarvam anityam sarvam duhkham sarvam anātmakam sarvam asubham iti sarvagrahanena bhavitabhavitam bahulikrtabahulikrtam tatra tatraiva nityasukhasubhātmakāni santi iti vistāreņa paramadharmatattvavyavasthānam ārabhya viparyāsbhūtanirdeśo yathāsūtram anugantavyah.