## K. K. MISHRA

## BHARTRHARI'S THEORY OF SPHOTA

The theory of *sphota* is one of the important contributions of Indian grammarians to the problem of Semantics in General Linguistics. Its first mention has been traced as early as  $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}sya$  of Patañjali (2nd Cent. B.C.), though the word sphota has been referred to by earlier grammarians like Pāṇini in his pioneer work  $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}^{1}$ . But it is the  $V\bar{a}kyapad\bar{\imath}ya$  of the great grammarian Bhartrhari (5th Cent. A.D.) where we get a fully developed and systematized description of the sphota-doctrine.

## What is sphota?

Sphoṭa is that by which meaning is expressed <sup>2</sup>. Prof. J. Brough says: « The *sphoṭa* is simply the linguistic sign in its aspect of meaning bearer » <sup>3</sup>. Old sanskrit grammarians treated *sphoṭa* as an essential element of speech but they had different views regarding its

<sup>1.</sup> Avan sphotāyanasya, Astādhyāyī VI, 1, 123.

 <sup>(</sup>a) Sphuţati prakāśate'rtho'smāditi sphoţalı vācaka iti yāvat, Sphoţavāda p. 5.

<sup>(</sup>b) Sphutyate vyajyate varnairiti sphoto varnābhivyangyah, sphutati sphutībhavatyasmādartha iti sphoto'rthapratyāyakah. Sarvadarsanasamgraha p. 300.

<sup>3.</sup> Theories of General Linguistics in the Sanskrit Grammarians, London, TPS, 1951, p. 33.

exact nature. Bhartrhari has mentioned those views in his  $V\bar{a}kyapad\bar{\imath}ya$ . According to one view, sphota is the original sound produced by various vocal organs with the contact of various places of articulation <sup>4</sup>. These produced sounds vanish as soon as they are articulated but at once these produce other sounds (echoes) which spread in different directions like the reflections of the original ones. The later sounds produced by the sphota are dhvani which spreads like a wave <sup>5</sup> and becomes weak as it goes far from the sphota gradually. This view has been explained in the commentary of  $V\bar{a}kyapad\bar{\imath}ya$  with the example of the sound of  $bher\bar{\imath}$  (a kind of drum) <sup>6</sup>. As the sound of  $bher\bar{\imath}$  goes farther and farther it becomes weaker and weaker.

Bhartrhari has mentioned another theory regarding *sphota* and *dhvani* which is slightly different from the previous one. According to this theory, the *sphota* and the *dhvani* are produced simultaneously (whereas the previous view believes that the *sphota* is produced first and the *dhvani* thereafter). This theory believes that there is no gap at all in the production of both. The *sphota* is the central sound and may be compared to the flame of fire. The *dhvani* is like the light of the flame which spreads in different directions. Sometimes we see the light without seeing the flame. Similarly we listen *dhvani* without knowing the *sphota*<sup>7</sup>. As the flame and the light are produced simultaneously, so is the production of the *sphota* and the *dhvani*.

Both these views accept that the *sphota* is produced by the vocal organs and treat it as ephemerae. Bhartrhari has mentioned a third view according to which the *sphota* is the class  $(j\bar{a}ti)$  revealed by various individual instances and the *dhvani* its member

Yah samyogavibhāgābhyām karanair upajanyate / sa sphotah śabdajāh śabdā dhvanayo'nyair Vākyapadīya 1.103.

<sup>5.</sup> Vīcīsantānavacca śrotram daśādigavasthitānām upagacchanti. Vākyapadīyatīkā, 1.103.

Yathā bherīdandābhighātajasya kāryaparamparā dūramanupatati. Vākyapadīyatīkā, 1.104.

<sup>7.</sup> Dūrat Prabheva dīpasya dhvanimātram tu lakṣyate. Vākyapadīya, 1.105.

(vyakti) <sup>8</sup>. Some scholars like Bhaṭṭoji Dīkṣita have treated this third view as of Bhartṛhari <sup>9</sup>, but this view was not accepted by the later grammarians like Nageśabhaṭṭa <sup>10</sup>, due to the fact that Bhartṛhari's sphoṭa is the word or the sentence taken as a single meaningful unit <sup>11</sup>. Bhartṛhari accepts the class as sphoṭa, its members are themselves sphoṭas. To accept the class of dhvani as sphoṭa without mentioning its meaning-bearing aspect is against Bhartṛhari's concept of sphoṭa. Therefore, the above view is just the third view mentioned by Bhartṛhari in his Vākyapadīya.

Bertrand Russel a modern scholar has expressed his view regarding this aspect of language phenomenon which is analogous to the third view mentioned by Bhartrhari.

The spoken word « dog » is not a single entity; it is a class of similar movements of the tongue, throat and larynx. Just as jumping is one class of bodily movements and walking another, so the uttered word « dog » is a third class of bodily movements. The word « dog » is universal, just as dog is universal. After discussing the different views regarding sphota, Bhartrhari explains his view regarding the nature of sphota is his Vākyapadīya. He says that a word or sentence is studied by the linguists under two aspects -

1. Sound pattern - 2. Meaning bearing symbol: dvāvupādānaśabdeṣu śabdau śabdavido viduḥ / eko nimittam śabdānām aparo 'rthe prayujyate // 12

Linguists accept two entities in the words of a language: one is the underlying cause of the articulated sounds and the other is related to meaning. Both may be called words. The first one i.e. sound pattern is the external facet of the language and the second one i.e. meaning bearing aspect or *sphota* is internal facet of it.

anekavyaktyabhivyangyā jātih sphota iti smṛtā / kaiścid vyaktaya evāsyā dhvanitvena prakalpitāh // Vākyapadīya, 1.94.

<sup>9.</sup> Yadyapīha astau paksāh uktāh tathāpi vākyasphotapakse tātparyam granthakrtām, tatrāpi jātisphota ityavadheyam. Sabdakaustubha, p. II.

<sup>10.</sup> Sphotavāda, p. 99.

<sup>11.</sup> An Enquiry into Meaning and Truth, p. 124.

<sup>12.</sup> Vākyapadīya, 1.44.

Any word when it is uttered expresses two things. At first, it reveals its own form and later on it expresses the thing (or meaning) for which it is meant. For example when the word agni is pronounced, it expresses at first the sounds with which it is formed - a. g n i. Then it denotes the meaning of the word i.e. fire. Bhartrhari has explained this double capacity of the word with the example of knowledge and light in the following verse -

```
ātmarūpam yathā jñāne
jñeyarūpam ca dṛśyate /
artharūpam tathā śabde
svarūpam ca prakāśate // 13
```

As knowledge expresses its own form and also the things denoted by it, so is the case of word which reveals its own form as well as meaning -

```
grāhyatvam grāhakatvam ca
dve śaktī tejaso yathā /
tathaiva sarvaśabdānām
ete pṛthagiva sthite // 14
```

As light reveals its own existence and other things also, similar is the case of words.

Indian grammarians have analysed speech situations and classified these into four categories namely parā, paśyantī, madhyamā and vaikharī -

```
parā vān mūlacakrasthā
paśyantī nābhisaṃsthitā /
hṛdisthā madhyamā jñeyā
vaikharī kaṇṭhadeśagā // <sup>15</sup>
```

Parā is the most subtle form of speech and is realized only by yogins and that too in the *nirvikalpaka samādhi* only. This is not to be realized by ordinary people. Hence Bhartrhari mentions three

<sup>13.</sup> Vākyapadīya, 1,50.

<sup>14.</sup> Väkyapadīya, 1,55.

<sup>15.</sup> Mañjūşa, p. 70.

forms of speech only in his language analysis i.e.  $vaikhar\bar{\imath}$ ,  $madhyam\bar{a}$  and  $pasyant\bar{\imath}$  -

```
vaikharyā madhyamāyāśca
paśyantyāścaitadadbhutam /
anekatīrthabhedāyās
trayyā vācah param padam // 16
```

In grammatical analysis, these three only are relevant -

1. Vaikharī (The Individual Instance of the Utterance in purely phonetic terms)

These are the actual sounds spoken by the speaker and heard by the listener. These contain various differences in intonation, tempo, pitch etc. depending on the individual speaker.

According to Bhartrhari and other later grammarians, *vaikharī dhvani* is not really capable of expressing the meaning of an utterance. It merely expresses the *madhyamā* form of speech which conveys the meaning.

2. Madhyamā (The phonological Structure, the Sound Pattern of the norm)

It is also called *prakṛta dhvani*. This is actually the normal phonological pattern of a language which is in the minds of the speakers and listeners of a language. This is indicated by the *vaikharī dhvani*. All the non-linguistic personal variations are eliminated at this stage. Time sequence is also present here. This is the form of speech which really expresses meaning, though it is neither spoken nor listened. It has been accepted as expressive of *sphota* (the meaning-bearing linguistic symbol -

```
vaikharyā hi kṛto nādaḥ paraśravaṇagocaraḥ / madhyamayā kṛto nādaḥ sphoṭavyañjaka ucyate //
```

Vaikharī and madhyamā sounds of speech are produced almost simultaneously. The vaikharī sound indicates the madhyamā and the madhyamā sound expresses sphoṭa which is integral and meaning-bearing aspect of the language. Bhartṛhari has accepted

<sup>16.</sup> Vākyapadīya 1, 143.

this form of speech as most important and mentioned it as  $\dot{s}abda$ brahma in his opening verse of  $V\bar{a}kyapad\bar{i}ya$  -

anādinidhanam brahma śabdatattvam yadakṣaram / vivartate 'rthabhāvena prakriyā jagato yataḥ // <sup>17</sup>

This madhyamā form of speech is to be realized by all the speakers of a language. Though the sphota is regarded as one and indivisible but it is classified on the basis of expressive sounds as vākyasphoṭa, padasphoṭa etc. <sup>18</sup>. This madhyamā vāk is sometimes expressed even without vaikharī dhvani e.g. when somebody is reading silently there is no active use of vaikharī speech but with the help of script, madhyamā vāk is revealed which expresses the meaning bearing sphoṭa.

## 3. Paśyantī

It is the form of speech which is realized by yogins. It is subtle and situated in *nābhi*. As yogins realize this in the process of Yoga so it is called *paśyantī*. This is not to be realized by ordinary people. It is also expressive of *sphota* which gives meaning.

In the language analysis of Bhartrhari, we find that the different forms of speech indicate the *sphota* out of which we get meaning.

Bhartrhari's concept of *sphota* can be compared with the linguistic sign of De Saussure, which has two facets

- 1. The signifiant (that which means)
- 2. The signified (that which is meant)

In Indian philosophical thought these have been termed as *śabda* and *artha*. The linguistic sign of modern linguists is based on the relationship between signifiant and the signified (the *śabda* 

<sup>17.</sup> Vākyapadīya 1,1.

<sup>18.</sup> Pade na varnā vidyante varneşvayavā na ca / vakyātpadānāmatyantam praviveko na kaścana // Vākyapadīya 1,73.

and the *artha*) but Bhartrhari's *sphoṭa* is an independent entity which conveys the sense. While explaining the theory of *sphoṭa*, Bhartrhari lays stress on the fact that words are psychical entities which reveal themselves through articulated sounds:

śabdo'pi buddhisthaḥ śrutīnāṃ karaṇam pṛthak 19.

His predecessor Indian thinkers have also expressed the similar view <sup>20</sup>. Modern linguist A. H. Gardiner has also expressed the similar view on the psychical aspect of words <sup>21</sup>.

<sup>19.</sup> Vākyapadīya 1.46.

<sup>20.</sup> Padam punarnādānusamhārabuddhinirgrāhyam, Yogasūtrabhāṣya, under sūtra III.17.

<sup>21.</sup> A. H. Gardiner, *Speech and Language*, p. 70.

'As words exist in the possession of every individual (of a linguistic community), they are psychical entities, comprising on the one hand an area of meaning, and on the other hand the image of a particular sound susceptible of being physically reproduced whenever wanted'.